

Ward performance by key areas - Economy

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Universa	l Credit (ou	t of work)	claimants		s who agre are helping ci	to create j			ents who ag ions are su in Y			Fu	iel poverty	(household	is)	Children ((aged 0- 15) fam	living in lo	ow income
Ward	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad
Acomb	2.20%	1.70%	15	Ψ	34.78%	30.77%	15	Ψ	36.84%	37.50%	16	•	14.56%	10.83%	7	Ψ	15.40%	12.20%	15	Ψ.
Bishopthorpe	1.70%	1.20%	11	4	27.27%	18.18%	20	Ψ	62.50%	66.67%	2	↑	10.50%	10.94%	8	1	5.90%	5.20%	4	Ψ
Clifton	3.10%	2.70%	20	4	31.58%	50.00%	4	1	50.00%	66.67%	3	^	18.72%	18.19%	19	•	20.50%	18.80%	20	Ψ
Copmanthorpe	1.10%	1.10%	5	→	63.64%	40.00%	7	Ψ	16.67%	50.00%	12	^	20.28%	17.40%	18	•	5.50%	2.80%	1	Ψ
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	1.70%	1.40%	12	4	48.48%	43.33%	6	Ψ	50.00%	52.17%	11	↑	11.91%	11.37%	10	•	10.10%	7.90%	11	Ψ
Fishergate	1.40%	1.00%	3	4	42.86%	35.00%	10	4	40.00%	53.85%	8	↑	10.75%	9.38%	4	4	6.40%	7.20%	10	^
Fulford and Heslington	1.90%	1.10%	6	Ψ	33.33%	33.33%	13	→	87.50%	75.00%	1	4	19.30%	19.27%	20	•	8.30%	5.60%	7	Ψ
Guildhall	2.10%	1.70%	16	4	25.93%	17.65%	21	Ψ	43.48%	38.46%	15	4	12.13%	10.52%	6	•	16.60%	12.30%	16	Ψ
Haxby and Wigginton	1.10%	1.10%	7	→	40.00%	34.78%	12	Ψ	52.63%	37.50%	17	4	9.67%	9.23%	3	•	7.40%	4.60%	3	Ψ
Heworth	2.80%	2.30%	19	4	13.64%	29.41%	17	1	38.89%	20.00%	21	4	25.89%	24.28%	21	4	18.90%	17.30%	19	Ψ
Heworth Without	1.70%	1.50%	14	Ψ	45.45%	58.33%	2	↑	50.00%	33.33%	18	•	19.48%	16.22%	17	•	7.70%	5.50%	5	Ψ
Holgate	2.50%	2.20%	18	4	32.00%	21.88%	18	Ψ	50.00%	41.18%	14	4	12.12%	12.35%	13	1	13.30%	10.60%	14	Ψ
Hull Road	1.40%	1.10%	8	4	18.75%	20.00%	19	1	45.45%	57.14%	5	^	13.64%	14.49%	15	1	18.40%	16.60%	18	Ψ
Huntington and New Earswick	2.20%	1.40%	13	Ψ	57.69%	36.84%	8	Ψ	60.00%	21.43%	20	•	13.71%	11.40%	11	•	14.60%	14.10%	17	Ψ
Micklegate	2.10%	1.70%	17	Ψ	34.15%	47.62%	5	↑	51.61%	57.14%	6	↑	14.77%	16.21%	16	↑	7.80%	6.50%	8	Ψ
Osbaldwick and Derwent	1.40%	1.10%	9	Ψ	27.27%	30.00%	16	↑	27.27%	25.00%	19	•	10.72%	11.61%	12	•	9.00%	9.10%	12	^
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	1.50%	1.10%	10	Ψ	29.17%	36.36%	9	↑	30.00%	57.89%	4	↑	8.08%	8.28%	2	↑	11.10%	9.60%	13	Ψ
Rural West York	1.10%	1.00%	4	Ψ	29.41%	16.67%	22	Ψ	66.67%	53.33%	9	4	8.80%	6.72%	1	•	7.30%	6.50%	9	Ψ
Strensall	1.30%	0.90%	2	Ψ	23.81%	33.33%	14	↑	58.33%	53.33%	10	•	10.97%	9.48%	5	•	7.80%	5.50%	6	Ψ
Westfield	4.20%	3.50%	21	Ψ	50.00%	57.14%	3	•	40.00%	55.56%	7	•	16.89%	13.90%	14	\Psi	24.30%	19.80%	21	Ψ
Wheldrake	1.10%	0.60%	1	Ψ	57.14%	83.33%	1	•	60.00%	N/A		→	10.43%	10.97%	9	^	6.90%	3.30%	2	Ψ
York	2.00%	1.70%		Ψ	36.33%	34.84%		Ψ	48.67%	46.18%		•	14.66%	13.49%		•	12.90%	11.50%		Ψ



Ward performance by key areas - Health & Wellbeing

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	ı	Reception y	year obesit	y		Year 6	obesity			Male life e	expectancy			Female life	expectanc	у	Emerge	ncy hospita	al admissio Ises	ns for all		ency hospi resulting f		
Ward	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2020/2021	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2020/2021	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2020/2021	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad
Acomb	0.00%	6.67%	6	→	0.00%	25.81%	18	→	80.18	79.84	16	•	82.50	81.75	20	Ψ	113.56	114.36	17	•	24.30	22.10	13	Ψ
Bishopthorpe	0.00%	N/C	-	→	0.00%	10.71%	2	→	84.82	85.79	2	↑	84.86	87.59	2	•	86.86	86.90	2	•	17.00	19.30	9	•
Clifton	0.00%	10.81%	15	⇒	0.00%	23.08%	16	→	77.32	76.87	20	4	82.29	82.13	18	4	123.32	123.56	20	^	21.50	23.10	15	↑
Copmanthorpe	0.00%	N/C	-	→	0.00%	11.11%	3	→	86.16	87.08	1	•	88.18	91.79	1	↑	77.22	79.03	1	↑	18.00	14.60	4	Ψ.
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	0.00%	5.77%	1	→	0.00%	18.87%	12	→	82.60	82.41	5	•	86.12	86.86	4	↑	100.19	101.47	12	↑	20.30	13.60	3	Ψ
Fishergate	0.00%	N/C	-	→	0.00%	12.50%	5	→	76.24	76.94	19	•	81.81	81.79	19	Ψ	107.48	105.14	13	Ψ	19.80	21.40	11	•
Fulford and Heslington	0.00%	10.00%	13	⇒	0.00%	15.00%	8	⇒	80.64	80.55	10	•	85.94	85.58	5	Ψ	98.89	100.03	9	^	29.70	34.30	21	•
Guildhall	0.00%	6.45%	4	→	0.00%	20.00%	13	⇒	79.50	79.00	17	4	83.30	82.92	16	Ψ	101.24	97.40	7	Ψ.	23.90	17.10	6	Ψ
Haxby and Wigginton	0.00%	7.69%	9	*	0.00%	13.79%	7	*	82.79	82.66	4	Ψ.	84.20	83.94	11	Ψ	111.66	112.50	16	^	18.70	23.10	16	•
Heworth	0.00%	11.29%	16	→	0.00%	22.95%	15	→	76.11	75.43	22	•	82.23	81.27	21	Ψ	115.10	115.66	18	^	25.70	16.60	5	Ψ
Heworth Without	0.00%	N/C	-	⇒	0.00%	N/C	-	⇒	79.31	79.97	15	•	85.44	87.56	3	↑	91.37	93.87	5	^	14.70	13.10	2	Ψ
Holgate	0.00%	10.17%	14	→	0.00%	22.22%	14	⇒	79.59	80.14	12	↑	84.96	84.69	7	Ψ	108.04	108.91	15	^	17.60	24.00	17	•
Hull Road	0.00%	11.43%	17	*	0.00%	26.47%	19	*	78.58	78.92	18	•	82.11	82.31	17	↑	91.41	92.53	4	^	17.00	12.10	1	Ψ
Huntington and New Earswick	0.00%	9.62%	12	→	0.00%	23.21%	17	→	80.98	80.57	9	•	84.08	84.36	8	↑	119.44	119.02	19	Ψ.	20.20	17.10	7	Ψ
Micklegate	0.00%	6.25%	2	→	0.00%	11.90%	4	→	82.07	81.08	7	•	83.66	83.71	12	↑	94.92	95.15	6	↑	19.90	20.90	10	•
Osbaldwick and Derwent	0.00%	6.45%	5	→	0.00%	15.15%	9	→	81.20	80.35	11	•	83.47	83.14	14	Ψ	106.42	107.46	14	^	28.60	25.40	20	+
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	0.00%	6.67%	7	→	0.00%	17.39%	10	→	80.18	80.04	13	•	82.97	83.13	15	^	99.51	100.49	10	^	18.20	24.60	18	•
Rural West York	0.00%	6.25%	3	→	0.00%	17.95%	11	→	80.58	80.86	8	•	85.18	84.12	9	Ψ	98.29	98.83	8	↑	22.40	21.40	12	Ψ
Strensall	0.00%	6.82%	8	→	0.00%	12.50%	6	→	83.01	82.99	3	•	84.90	85.11	6	↑	98.70	100.88	11	↑	17.20	19.00	8	•
Westfield	0.00%	8.43%	10	→	0.00%	29.29%	20	→	75.95	76.09	21	•	79.96	80.65	22	↑	131.86	132.26	21	↑	19.80	25.10	19	•
Wheldrake	0.00%	9.52%	11	→	0.00%	10.00%	1	→	82.18	82.29	6	•	85.04	84.03	10	Ψ	86.24	87.41	3	↑	18.40	22.70	14	↑
York	0.00%	8.19%		→	0.00%	19.34%		→	80.17	79.99		•	83.58	83.53		Ψ	105.91	106.20		↑	20.60	20.50		Ψ



Ward performance by key areas - Adult Social Care

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

		Social I	solation		Homecar	e clients (p	er 1,000 pc	pulation)		etting paid p not resident			New cust		uesting Adı upport	ult Social
Ward	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral
Acomb	2.22	2.35	20	•	43	55	15	•	69	77	11	*	41	55	6	*
Bishopthorpe	2.57	1.88	9	•	16	15	3	*	28	25	19	*	23	15	21	*
Clifton	2.19	1.95	13	Ψ	57	67	18	1	83	96	7	*	37	37	15	*
Copmanthorpe	1.00	2.25	19	^	11	9	1	4	23	14	22	→	15	16	20	→
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	2.35	2.05	17	Ψ	61	59	16	Ψ	104	101	6	→	56	58	5	→
Fishergate	1.80	1.58	4	Ψ	46	44	13	•	73	75	13	→	34	40	13	→
Fulford and Heslington	1.83	1.90	10	^	12	19	5	1	28	29	18	→	25	21	19	→
Guildhall	1.93	2.00	14	↑	26	36	9	↑	70	82	9	→	48	50	7	→
Haxby and Wigginton	1.83	2.00	15	↑	48	69	19	↑	94	122	4	→	69	88	3	→
Heworth	2.00	1.81	8	Ψ	77	62	17	Ψ	134	109	5	→	62	42	10	→
Heworth Without	2.50	1.33	1	Ψ	23	17	4	•	24	20	21	→	24	29	16	→
Holgate	1.81	1.76	6	Ψ	48	48	14	→	84	76	12	→	44	48	8	→
Hull Road	2.22	1.92	12	Ψ	20	20	7	→	36	36	16	→	32	22	18	→
Huntington and New Earswick	2.12	2.05	18	Ψ	90	85	21	•	183	187	2	→	74	98	2	→
Micklegate	1.86	1.90	11	↑	33	43	12	↑	68	91	8	→	44	42	11	*
Osbaldwick and Derwent	1.88	1.50	2	•	25	37	10	↑	42	55	14	→	36	40	14	*
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	1.78	1.72	5	Ψ	37	38	11	↑	72	81	10	→	51	41	12	→
Rural West York	2.25	2.00	16	•	24	19	6	•	39	42	15	→	35	45	9	*
Strensall	2.44	2.38	21	•	26	23	8	•	36	36	17	→	31	29	17	*
Westfield	1.84	1.80	7	Ψ	85	84	20	Ψ	138	133	3	→	78	66	4	→
Wheldrake	2.71	1.50	3	Ψ	10	11	2	↑	17	23	20	→	17	15	22	→
York	2.04	1.92		Ψ	828	865		↑	1,488	1,548		→	989	1,030		→



Ward performance by key areas - Public Realm

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Cri	me (per 1,0	00 populati	ion)	AS	6B (per 1,00	00 population	on)			k that hate their loca			ve in, relati	e that York vely free fro		% of r	oad area th	at is Struc (Grade 5)	turally
Ward	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad
Acomb	44.72	51.39	11	•	26.67	21.33	16	Ψ	78.26%	74.07%	15	•	86.96%	65.38%	19	Ψ	8.47%	10.57%	13	•
Bishopthorpe	23.85	18.79	1	4	11.33	9.64	6	4	83.33%	72.73%	16	•	83.33%	72.73%	11	4	10.28%	12.34%	16	•
Clifton	79.93	76.54	18	4	25.78	23.28	18	4	63.16%	68.75%	20	1	78.95%	81.25%	6	1	9.61%	12.35%	17	•
Copmanthorpe	24.13	20.99	2	4	12.06	5.54	2	4	90.91%	90.91%	4	→	72.73%	70.00%	13	4	7.13%	9.07%	8	^
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	46.89	41.17	9	Ψ	19.93	15.94	11	Ψ	72.73%	83.87%	9	↑	68.75%	63.33%	20	Ψ	10.95%	10.42%	12	Ψ
Fishergate	52.43	54.02	13	1	23.03	12.57	8	Ψ	76.19%	81.82%	11	↑	95.24%	90.91%	3	Ψ	9.66%	9.30%	9	Ψ
Fulford and Heslington	66.07	75.77	17	1	22.28	15.47	10	Ψ	88.89%	100.00%	1	^	66.67%	83.33%	4	1	3.66%	5.25%	1	•
Guildhall	194.54	224.87	21	↑	88.05	62.82	21	Ψ	57.14%	52.94%	21	•	67.86%	68.42%	14	↑	10.22%	13.23%	19	^
Haxby and Wigginton	24.07	28.02	5	↑	17.91	22.47	17	↑	83.33%	87.50%	7	↑	90.00%	100.00%	1	↑	6.81%	8.41%	5	↑
Heworth	57.06	55.68	14	4	25.81	17.69	14	4	65.22%	82.35%	10	↑	63.64%	43.75%	21	4	10.82%	13.55%	20	^
Heworth Without	19.05	22.36	3	1	6.86	7.87	5	1	75.00%	91.67%	3	1	81.82%	91.67%	2	1	10.66%	11.92%	15	•
Holgate	53.19	52.42	12	4	26.16	16.77	13	4	73.08%	80.00%	12	↑	61.54%	65.63%	18	1	12.88%	13.62%	21	^
Hull Road	39.77	36.66	8	4	13.58	7.38	4	Ψ	50.00%	70.00%	19	↑	62.50%	30.00%	22	Ψ	7.79%	8.52%	6	^
Huntington and New Earswick	65.59	74.51	16	1	24.25	18.85	15	Ψ	69.23%	89.47%	5	•	73.08%	73.68%	9	1	5.01%	6.60%	2	↑
Micklegate	129.80	137.38	20	1	66.07	43.21	20	Ψ	70.73%	75.00%	14	^	78.05%	73.91%	8	Ψ	11.51%	10.89%	14	Ψ
Osbaldwick and Derwent	23.88	28.44	7	1	12.12	6.72	3	Ψ	77.27%	71.43%	18	•	81.82%	76.19%	7	Ψ	8.46%	8.65%	7	↑
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	67.06	61.36	15	Ψ	18.92	15.01	9	Ψ	80.00%	72.73%	17	•	84.00%	81.82%	5	Ψ	6.70%	8.25%	4	↑
Rural West York	50.09	46.30	10	Ψ	23.27	16.32	12	Ψ	82.35%	88.89%	6	↑	66.67%	72.22%	12	•	9.44%	7.95%	3	Ψ
Strensall	23.21	28.28	6	•	12.40	12.26	7	Ψ	80.95%	84.21%	8	↑	66.67%	66.67%	15	→	9.65%	9.72%	11	↑
Westfield	96.02	95.40	19	Ψ	49.04	41.45	19	Ψ	73.33%	46.67%	22	•	50.00%	66.67%	16	•	9.83%	9.54%	10	Ψ
Wheldrake	29.70	25.15	4	Ψ	6.47	3.36	1	Ψ	100.00%	100.00%	2	→	71.43%	66.67%	17	Ψ	11.64%	12.79%	18	↑
York	67.96	71.13		1	30.36	22.50		4	73.63%	77.98%		↑	74.36%	72.92%		4	8.89%	9.63%		↑



Ward performance by key areas - Schools and Educational Attainment

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Primary		ıpils claimiı I Meals	ng Free	Seconda	ry school p	oupils claim I Meals	ning Free	Goo	d Level of l Foundati	Developme on Stage	nt at	ı	Key Stage 4	l Attainmen	ıt
Ward	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good
Acomb	14.43%	17.14%	7	→	15.08%	16.08%	6	*	76.92%	64.04%	17	*	68.60%	61.02%	19	•
Bishopthorpe	4.30%	6.16%	18	*	1.77%	3.44%	21	*	84.62%	95.00%	1	→	80.95%	87.18%	1	•
Clifton	29.41%	26.84%	2	*	30.28%	32.47%	1	→	64.20%	69.74%	10	↑	57.65%	55.26%	21	•
Copmanthorpe	2.74%	3.35%	20	→	4.00%	1.39%	22	→	71.79%	70.59%	9	4	73.33%	85.71%	2	^
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	11.21%	12.03%	11	*	12.18%	13.57%	10	→	73.58%	70.83%	8	4	75.53%	68.57%	17	Ψ
Fishergate	12.66%	11.38%	12	*	8.26%	8.94%	15	→	81.25%	58.67%	21	4	79.63%	85.07%	3	•
Fulford and Heslington	14.61%	15.45%	10	*	7.59%	11.53%	11	→	64.81%	67.44%	14	^	84.44%	73.81%	9	Ψ
Guildhall	28.38%	28.26%	1	*	17.00%	24.91%	4	→	65.63%	60.32%	20	4	82.86%	56.25%	20	Ψ
Haxby and Wigginton	5.81%	5.99%	19	*	4.91%	5.05%	18	→	74.26%	80.56%	2	1	80.77%	75.00%	7	Ψ
Heworth	23.89%	24.43%	5	*	23.61%	24.54%	5	→	63.64%	63.43%	19	4	71.82%	63.11%	18	Ψ
Heworth Without	2.68%	2.36%	21	*	3.98%	4.93%	19	→	81.25%	56.76%	22	4	87.10%	78.13%	5	Ψ
Holgate	20.27%	21.72%	6	*	12.62%	15.80%	8	→	72.39%	69.30%	12	4	66.67%	73.33%	10	•
Hull Road	27.18%	26.72%	4	*	29.44%	32.32%	2	→	60.29%	68.49%	13	1	66.23%	69.74%	15	•
Huntington and New Earswick	15.84%	16.23%	8	*	13.60%	15.92%	7	→	70.00%	66.02%	16	4	71.33%	68.85%	16	Ψ
Micklegate	9.37%	10.97%	14	*	8.91%	10.98%	13	→	72.62%	78.13%	5	1	74.71%	72.09%	11	Ψ
Osbaldwick and Derwent	11.52%	11.37%	13	*	11.34%	11.42%	12	→	67.19%	79.71%	3	1	84.27%	77.38%	6	Ψ
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	10.59%	10.36%	15	*	8.05%	9.05%	14	→	77.95%	78.40%	4	↑	79.00%	71.05%	12	Ψ
Rural West York	8.00%	10.18%	16	*	6.73%	7.94%	16	→	79.41%	77.14%	6	4	78.26%	75.00%	8	Ψ
Strensall	7.31%	8.13%	17	*	3.70%	5.94%	17	→	68.29%	67.07%	15	4	81.72%	70.65%	13	Ψ
Westfield	24.50%	26.80%	3	*	24.70%	25.82%	3	→	67.43%	63.91%	18	4	61.03%	50.00%	22	Ψ
Wheldrake	2.90%	2.26%	22	*	2.37%	3.56%	20	→	66.67%	76.92%	7	↑	91.78%	83.33%	4	Ψ
York	15.30%	16.02%		→	13.25%	14.92%		*	70.90%	69.70%		4	75.30%	70.20%		4



Ward performance by key areas - Resident Engagement

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Residents	satisfied w		cal area as	Residents	_	e that they cal area	belong to		agree thei r children a grov					gree that th s in their lo	•
Ward	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2021/2022	Latest Year 2022/2023	Latest Year Rank (1 is	Direction of Travel Up is Good
Acomb	84.00%	81.48%	15	4	85.00%	73.91%	15	Ψ	56.52%	51.85%	20	4	21.74%	11.54%	20	Ψ.
Bishopthorpe	91.67%	100.00%	1	↑	83.33%	100.00%	1	^	100.00%	81.82%	7	4	33.33%	20.00%	11	4
Clifton	65.22%	88.24%	10	↑	52.63%	81.25%	11	^	52.63%	62.50%	16	^	5.26%	12.50%	19	↑
Copmanthorpe	100.00%	90.91%	7	4	81.82%	90.91%	4	↑	100.00%	100.00%	1	→	36.36%	40.00%	2	↑
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	86.11%	83.87%	12	4	81.82%	60.00%	21	•	62.86%	58.06%	18	4	24.24%	12.90%	18	Ψ
Fishergate	85.71%	91.67%	6	1	85.71%	100.00%	2	^	65.00%	73.91%	11	^	25.00%	31.82%	6	^
Fulford and Heslington	77.78%	50.00%	22	4	62.50%	83.33%	9	^	88.89%	100.00%	2	^	11.11%	16.67%	14	^
Guildhall	79.31%	63.64%	18	4	70.37%	73.33%	16	^	57.14%	38.89%	22	4	28.57%	26.32%	7	Ψ
Haxby and Wigginton	90.32%	92.00%	4	1	93.33%	83.33%	10	•	96.77%	87.50%	4	4	36.67%	21.74%	8	Ψ
Heworth	73.08%	66.67%	16	4	68.18%	56.25%	22	•	52.17%	41.18%	21	4	9.09%	5.88%	21	Ψ
Heworth Without	92.31%	100.00%	2	^	91.67%	91.67%	3	→	83.33%	91.67%	3	^	9.09%	41.67%	1	^
Holgate	75.86%	81.82%	14	↑	83.33%	79.31%	12	Ψ	69.23%	61.29%	17	4	30.77%	20.00%	12	Ψ
Hull Road	52.94%	60.00%	20	1	71.43%	88.89%	6	^	43.75%	70.00%	13	^	18.75%	20.00%	13	^
Huntington and New Earswick	77.78%	95.00%	3	^	64.00%	84.21%	8	^	76.92%	85.00%	5	^	15.38%	21.05%	9	^
Micklegate	88.64%	92.00%	5	^	85.00%	78.26%	13	•	69.05%	68.00%	15	1	41.46%	34.78%	3	Ψ.
Osbaldwick and Derwent	86.96%	90.48%	8	↑	86.36%	90.00%	5	↑	72.73%	80.95%	8	•	27.27%	4.76%	22	4
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	89.29%	63.64%	19	4	56.00%	71.43%	17	↑	73.91%	72.73%	12	1	16.00%	33.33%	4	^
Rural West York	100.00%	88.89%	9	4	77.78%	66.67%	18	Ψ	88.89%	77.78%	9	1	0.00%	16.67%	15	→
Strensall	90.91%	84.21%	11	4	85.71%	66.67%	19	•	71.43%	77.78%	10	•	10.00%	15.79%	17	^
Westfield	73.33%	58.82%	21	4	61.54%	84.62%	7	↑	53.33%	57.14%	19	•	33.33%	33.33%	5	→
Wheldrake	85.71%	66.67%	17	4	71.43%	66.67%	20	•	85.71%	83.33%	6	1	42.86%	16.67%	16	Ψ
York	84.38%	82.18%		4	78.09%	77.90%		Ψ	71.54%	69.67%		4	24.26%	20.94%		4




Ward performance by key areas - Highways

	% of road		is Free Froi	n Defects	% of road	area that h		of Surface	% of road	d area that	is Mid Life	(Grade 3)	% of re	oad area th	at is Funct (Grade 4)	ionally	% of r	oad area th		turally
Ward	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad
Acomb	0.22	0.17	15	₩	0.05	0.05	5	↑	0.47	0.46	21	₩	0.15	0.18	19	↑	0.08	0.11	13	↑
Bishopthorpe	0.25	0.19	11	4	0.04	0.06	3	↑	0.28	0.30	8	•	0.10	0.11	6	^	0.10	0.12	16	^
Clifton	0.20	0.16	18	4	0.02	0.03	19	↑	0.36	0.36	13	^	0.21	0.20	21	4	0.10	0.12	17	^
Copmanthorpe	0.29	0.24	4	4	0.04	0.05	8	↑	0.30	0.32	9	^	0.13	0.13	12	4	0.07	0.09	8	^
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	0.25	0.26	3	^	0.05	0.06	2	↑	0.37	0.34	12	Ψ	0.15	0.13	13	4	0.11	0.10	12	Ψ
Fishergate	0.13	0.16	17	↑	0.02	0.02	20	→	0.36	0.33	11	4	0.12	0.11	7	4	0.10	0.09	9	4
Fulford and Heslington	0.42	0.37	1	4	0.03	0.05	6	↑	0.19	0.20	2	↑	0.07	0.06	1	4	0.04	0.05	1	^
Guildhall	0.23	0.18	14	4	0.02	0.02	21	4	0.30	0.28	4	Ψ	0.13	0.10	4	4	0.10	0.13	19	^
Haxby and Wigginton	0.20	0.14	21	4	0.06	0.05	4	4	0.36	0.37	14	•	0.15	0.17	18	^	0.07	0.08	5	↑
Heworth	0.20	0.22	6	^	0.05	0.03	18	4	0.41	0.38	16	*	0.16	0.15	15	4	0.11	0.14	20	↑
Heworth Without	0.13	0.12	22	4	0.02	0.03	16	•	0.38	0.37	15	•	0.16	0.15	14	4	0.11	0.12	15	^
Holgate	0.16	0.17	16	•	0.03	0.03	14	4	0.34	0.33	10	*	0.18	0.17	17	4	0.13	0.14	21	^
Hull Road	0.27	0.22	5	4	0.04	0.05	9	↑	0.39	0.40	17	•	0.14	0.12	8	4	0.08	0.09	6	↑
Huntington and New Earswick	0.23	0.19	10	Ψ	0.06	0.05	7	4	0.41	0.41	20	^	0.12	0.12	10	^	0.05	0.07	2	^
Micklegate	0.21	0.18	13	4	0.02	0.02	22	4	0.31	0.30	6	4	0.19	0.16	16	4	0.12	0.11	14	4
Osbaldwick and Derwent	0.26	0.20	8	4	0.02	0.04	11	↑	0.31	0.30	7	4	0.15	0.12	9	4	0.08	0.09	7	^
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	0.30	0.27	2	4	0.09	0.07	1	4	0.39	0.41	19	↑	0.11	0.11	5	4	0.07	0.08	4	^
Rural West York	0.22	0.16	19	4	0.04	0.03	13	4	0.30	0.28	5	Ψ	0.11	0.10	3	4	0.09	0.08	3	Ψ
Strensall	0.21	0.19	12	4	0.04	0.04	10	^	0.26	0.27	3	^	0.13	0.13	11	^	0.10	0.10	11	^
Westfield	0.25	0.22	7	4	0.03	0.03	17	^	0.38	0.40	18	^	0.18	0.18	20	4	0.10	0.10	10	Ψ
Wheldrake	0.17	0.14	20	4	0.03	0.03	15	↑	0.24	0.20	1	Ψ	0.07	0.08	2	↑	0.12	0.13	18	^
York	0.23	0.20		4	0.04	0.04		↑	0.33	0.32		4	0.13	0.12		4	0.09	0.10		^




Summary


- York has 211,012 residents with 7.3% from a black and minority ethnic community group. 83.6% are in good health, with 17.1% stating that they have some limitation in day to day activities.
- 65% own their own home, either outright or with a mortgage, 20% are private renters and 14% are social tenants. There are 7,394 Council Houses in York.
- 79.3% of residents have a Level 1 4 qualification, of which 71.9% are, at least, qualified to Level 2, but 13.3% have no qualifications at all.
- 11.5% of children are living in low income families and there are 13.5% of households in fuel poverty.
- 1.6% of the working population (aged 16-64) claim out of work benefits (either Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit)

















A: Agriculture, forestry and fishing, B: Mining and quarrying, C: Manufacturing, D: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply, E: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities, F: Construction

G: Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles, H: Transport and storage, I: Accommodation and food service activities, J: Information and communication, K: Financial and insurance activities, L: Real estate activities


M: Professional, scientific and technical activities, N: Administrative and support service activities, O: Public administration and defence; compulsory social security, P: Education, Q: Human health and social work activities


























Child Poverty


The proportion of children (under 16) who are living in families whose reported income is less than 60 per cent of UK median income



Fuel Poverty

The new (2021) fuel poverty metric Low Income Low Energy Efficiency (LILEE) considers a household to be fuel poor if:


- it is living in a property with an energy efficiency rating of band D, E, F or G; and
- its disposable income (income after housing costs (AHC) and energy needs) would be below the poverty line.



Source: Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy


Pension Credit

Pension Credit is an income-related benefit made up of 2 parts - Guarantee Credit and Savings Credit.




Indices of Multiple Deprivation

The IMD measures and rates a number of different domains affecting quality of life including income, employment, education and skills, health and disability, crime, barriers to services and quality of living environments.





Source: Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG)



























































Health and Wellbeing


The **Health Index** provides a single value for health, and can be broken down to show what aspect of health is contributing to changes in Index scores.


The Index is split into three domains:


- Healthy People focusing on health outcomes
- Healthy Lives focusing on health-related behaviours and personal circumstances
- Healthy Places focusing on wider determinants of health, environmental factors




The Health Index has been scaled to a base of 100 for England, with base year of 2015. Values higher than 100 indicate better health than England 2015, and values below 100 indicate worse health. The scale is such for indicators at LTLA level that a score of 110 represents a score one standard deviation higher than England's 2015 score for that same indicator, 120 represents a value two standard deviations higher, and so on.
































The Standardised Admission Ratio (SAR) is a summary estimate of admission rates relative to the national pattern of admissions and takes into account differences in a population's age, sex and socioeconomic deprivation.

Socioeconomic deprivation.


Vork - 2019/2020

2020/2021


Source: Office for Health Improvement and Disparities (OHID)

Emergency hospital admissions for injuries resulting from a fall (over 65), per 1,000 population


Falls data: the figures given here are taken from Hospital Episode Statistics data, which takes the number of those aged 65 or over being admitted to hospital on a non-elective basis at least once in each year as a result of a fall and divides it by the number of those of that age group resident in each ward to give a rate per 1,000 population.




















Education and Schools

The following data only relates to those pupils who attend York Schools.



Educational Attainment




The Department for Education did not release data for 2019-20 or 2020-21 due to the way in which Key Stage results were calculated.

■ National ■ York - 2021/2022 ■ 2022/2023

Source: Department for Education - 2022/23









Broadband coverage and speeds

In March 2017 the UK Government introduced the Universal Service Obligation (USO) for broadband as part of its commitment in the UK Digital Strategy to ensure that the UK has world-class digital connectivity and inclusion.


Measure	York	National	Summary
Average download speed (Mb/s)	174.80	145.00	faster than the National average
Superfast broadband availability	97.19%	97.25%	worse than the National average
Connections receiving:			
slowest speeds (under 2 Mb/s)	0.04%	0.17%	lower than the National average
slower speeds (under 10 Mb/s)	0.39%	0.79%	lower than the National average
superfast speeds (over 30 Mb/s)	97.28%	97.42%	lower than the National average

This data is based on analysis of Ofcom's Connected Nations data for 2023/2024. Ofcom collected and analysed data from major fixed telecoms operators (BT, Virgin Media, Sky, Talk Talk, Vodafone and KCOM). Due to variations in broadband performance over time, Ofcom cautions that the data should not be regarded as a definitive and fixed view of the broadband infrastructure but rather a snapshot of current variation in availability and performance across the country.

Cost of Living Indicators									UK LA	Rank
Indicator	Measure	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Trend	2017	2022
Download speed	Mbps	42.20	102.90	44.00	56.10	147.10	147.10		135	2
Upload speed	Mbps	3.70	71.60	6.20	8.30	98.10	98.10	<u></u>	203	1
Superfast broadband availability	Percentage	89.00%	92.00%	94.90%	93.80%	94.10%	94.10%	•	192	254
Properties not connected to gas network	Percentage	9.45%	9.39%	10.73%	11.58%	11.59%	11.66%		133	174
Annual electricity cost	£ / household	£590.58	£590.58	£636.00	£688.50	£697.40	£751.05	••••	164	97
Annual gas cost	£ / household	£572.47	£572.47	£572.47	£591.98	£544.61	£551.58	•••	1	1
Water costs	£ / household	£173.00	£173.00	£173.00	£173.00	£185.00	£182.00		41	71
Regular internet users	Percentage	83.30%	87.10%	85.90%	88.60%	92.70%	92.13%	•••	305	179
Households without a mobile phone	Percentage	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	6.00%	5.00%	5.00%		257	244
People without a bank account	Percentage	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	4.00%	/	24	342
						Sourc	e: UK Pros	sperity Index 2022 (Le	gatum Ir	stitute)

Resident Engagement Talkabout Survey Respondents - Equality profile Number of Responses: Q3 - 404, Q1 - 394 94.7% 100% 93.2% 80% 60% 40.6%<mark>2.23</mark>7.8% 40% 33.3% 25.2% 19.7% 20% 7.1% 8.0% 5.6^{4.3}%0.1%0.1%1<mark>1.2</mark> N/00.3%2.3%3.1%7.0% 0% Ethnicity: Other BME Female Male Age 18-24 Age 25-34 Age 35-44 Age 55-64 Age 65-74 Ethnicity: Disabled White ■Q3 ■Q1 Source: Talkabout Survey - Q3 2022/2023, Q1 2023/2024






















Experian Groups

O Rental Hubs

Young singles and homesharers, rent flats, very high internet use, internet via smartphone, watch videos online.

E Senior Security

Retired singles and couples, pre-war generation, established in community, low internet use, have wills.

H Aspiring Homemakers

Families with young children, 3 bedrooms, high outstanding mortgages, internet via smartphone, texts and photos on smartphone.

G Domestic Success

Families with children, mid to high household income, monthly discretionary income under £1000, very high mortgage debt, internet via smartphone.

B Prestige Positions

Own large, detached houses, highly educated, high discretionary income, garden or allotment, pay credit cards in full.

F Suburban Stability

Older families, no children, own mid-value semis, 3 bedrooms, established in community, news and media sites.

I Family Basics

Families with lots of children, council/ha tenants, low discretionary income, low affluence, internet via smartphone.

Experian Types

061 Career Builders

Young singles and homesharers, rent flats, university degrees, work full-time, access internet at work.

G29 Mid-Career Convention

Married couples, 2+ children, generation x, homeowners, outstanding mortgage.

N60 Ageing Access

Retired singles, baby boomers, purpose built flats, 1 or 2 bedrooms, no outstanding mortgage.

E18 Legacy Elders

Retired singles, pre-war generation, university degrees, homeowners, no outstanding mortgage.

E19 Bungalow Haven

Pre-war generation, own bungalows, no outstanding mortgage, university degrees, pay credit cards in full.

B09 Empty-Nest Adventure

Married couples, no children, baby boomers, large, detached houses, highly educated, high discretionary income.

H30 Primary Ambitions

Families with young children, 3 bed terraces, vocational qualifications, high outstanding mortgages, internet via smartphone.

062 Central Pulse

Rent 1 bed flats, university degrees, access internet at work, electric central heating, low environmental impact gap.

I36 Solid Economy

Council/HA tenants, school-age children, no qualifications, low discretionary income, standard current/savings account.

E20 Classic Grandparents

Retired couples, established in community, no qualifications, low internet use, have wills.