

Ward performance by key areas - Economy

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Universa	Universal Credit (out of work) claimants				Residents who agree the council and its partners are helping to create jobs in the city				ions are su	ree their sl ited to jobs 'ork		Fu	el poverty	(household	is)	Children (aged 0- 15) living in low income families					
Ward	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2017/2018	Latest Year 2018/2019	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2018/2019	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad		
Acomb	3.70%	2.20%	16	Ψ	21.43%	34.78%	10	↑	40.00%	36.84%	19	Ψ	8.18%	8.63%	14	•	12.70%	14.00%	15	•		
Bishopthorpe	2.20%	1.70%	10	4	36.36%	27.27%	17	•	80.00%	62.50%	3	•	7.69%	7.39%	10	Ψ	5.60%	6.90%	1	^		
Clifton	4.90%	3.10%	20	4	36.84%	31.58%	14	•	50.00%	50.00%	9	→	12.06%	10.04%	18	Ψ	17.80%	21.20%	20	^		
Copmanthorpe	2.30%	1.10%	1	4	36.36%	63.64%	1	↑	44.44%	16.67%	22	Ψ	6.58%	6.73%	4	•	6.40%	7.10%	3	1		
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	3.70%	1.70%	11	Ψ	51.85%	48.48%	5	Ψ	36.36%	50.00%	10	↑	6.75%	6.87%	6	•	10.10%	10.50%	12	^		
Fishergate	2.50%	1.40%	6	4	36.84%	42.86%	7	↑	41.67%	40.00%	16	Ψ	12.72%	11.20%	20	Ψ	7.70%	8.20%	5	•		
Fulford and Heslington	2.60%	1.90%	13	Ψ	44.44%	33.33%	12	Ψ	83.33%	87.50%	1	↑	9.81%	9.29%	16	Ψ	5.70%	7.90%	4	^		
Guildhall	3.30%	2.10%	14	4	33.33%	25.93%	19	Ψ	41.18%	43.48%	15	↑	11.80%	10.35%	19	4	16.20%	16.80%	17	^		
Haxby and Wigginton	2.60%	1.10%	2	Ψ	34.78%	40.00%	8	↑	53.33%	52.63%	7	Ψ	6.32%	6.60%	3	^	7.10%	8.30%	7	^		
Heworth	4.70%	2.80%	19	Ψ	31.82%	13.64%	22	Ψ	55.56%	38.89%	18	Ψ	10.70%	9.85%	17	Ψ	16.40%	18.60%	18	^		
Heworth Without	2.70%	1.70%	12	4	50.00%	45.45%	6	Ψ	62.50%	50.00%	11	Ψ	6.65%	6.53%	2	Ψ	4.20%	7.00%	2	^		
Holgate	4.40%	2.50%	18	4	23.53%	32.00%	13	↑	59.26%	50.00%	12	Ψ	8.76%	7.53%	11	Ψ	11.40%	12.80%	14	^		
Hull Road	2.30%	1.40%	7	4	40.00%	18.75%	21	Ψ	66.67%	45.45%	14	Ψ	15.57%	15.33%	21	Ψ	20.20%	18.70%	19	4		
Huntington and New Earswick	3.80%	2.20%	17	4	40.00%	57.69%	2	1	53.33%	60.00%	4	1	7.29%	7.12%	8	Ψ	11.70%	14.60%	16	^		
Micklegate	4.10%	2.10%	15	4	42.86%	34.15%	11	Ψ	54.55%	51.61%	8	Ψ	10.39%	8.77%	15	Ψ	7.20%	8.40%	8	^		
Osbaldwick and Derwent	2.70%	1.40%	8	4	42.86%	27.27%	18	Ψ	41.67%	27.27%	21	•	7.18%	7.83%	12	^	9.10%	8.20%	6	Ψ		
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	3.00%	1.50%	9	Ψ	46.15%	29.17%	16	Ψ	37.50%	30.00%	20	Ψ	7.16%	6.85%	5	Ψ	12.20%	11.20%	13	Ψ		
Rural West York	2.50%	1.10%	3	4	26.09%	29.41%	15	1	42.86%	66.67%	2	1	6.00%	6.23%	1	^	7.50%	10.00%	11	^		
Strensall	2.50%	1.30%	5	4	31.25%	23.81%	20	Ψ	54.55%	58.33%	6	1	6.09%	7.36%	9	^	6.40%	8.50%	9	^		
Westfield	6.70%	4.20%	21	4	50.00%	50.00%	4	→	44.44%	40.00%	17	Ψ	8.76%	8.59%	13	Ψ	19.90%	21.90%	21	^		
Wheldrake	2.10%	1.10%	4	4	72.73%	57.14%	3	Ψ	57.14%	60.00%	5	1	6.42%	7.04%	7	^	7.90%	8.60%	10	1		
York	3.50%	2.00%		4	38.61%	36.33%		Ψ	50.68%	48.67%		Ψ	8.90%	8.90%		→	11.90%	13.00%		^		



Ward performance by key areas - Health & Wellbeing

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

						., .											_				Emergency hospital admissions for				
		Reception y		•			obesity			Male life e				Female life	•			•	pital admis		injuries resulting from a fall (over 65)				
Ward	Previous Year 2018/2019	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2018/2019	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2017/2018	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2017/2018	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2017/2018	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2018/2019	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	
Acomb	9.30%	7.55%	3	4	15.90%	22.03%	18	•	79.50	80.18	12	↑	83.50	82.50	17	+	108.10	113.56	17	•	30.20	31.00	16	•	
Bishopthorpe	5.20%	9.52%	8	•	8.00%	10.00%	3	•	83.90	84.82	2	^	83.30	84.86	9	•	82.30	86.86	3	•	16.20	15.30	2	4	
Clifton	7.90%	11.36%	14	•	22.40%	21.05%	17	4	76.90	77.32	19	^	81.50	82.29	18	•	115.00	123.32	20	•	22.50	23.50	8	•	
Copmanthorpe	5.20%	N/C	-	⇒	8.00%	7.69%	1	4	86.60	86.16	1	•	88.50	88.18	1	Ψ.	82.30	77.22	1	4	15.50	19.30	5	•	
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	10.30%	9.68%	9	4	16.80%	16.67%	11	+	82.30	82.60	5	^	85.80	86.12	2	•	94.00	100.19	11	•	26.30	26.50	9	•	
Fishergate	8.60%	N/C	-	→	10.90%	11.54%	5	•	77.00	76.24	20	Ψ.	81.80	81.81	21	^	104.10	107.48	14	•	19.30	38.70	20	•	
Fulford and Heslington	6.70%	N/C	-	⇒	9.30%	N/C	-	⇒	80.70	80.64	10	4	84.90	85.94	3	•	80.60	98.89	9	•	37.50	42.70	21	•	
Guildhall	10.60%	11.76%	15	•	20.40%	20.00%	13	+	80.30	79.50	16	4	81.70	83.30	15	•	118.30	101.24	12	+	29.90	21.70	6	4	
Haxby and Wigginton	8.90%	10.71%	12	↑	12.40%	12.90%	7	•	83.00	82.79	4	•	83.80	84.20	10	•	105.20	111.66	16	•	31.60	28.80	12	4	
Heworth	9.70%	11.76%	16	•	19.50%	20.34%	15	•	76.90	76.11	21	4	82.50	82.23	19	4	101.40	115.10	18	•	30.40	30.50	14	•	
Heworth Without	6.90%	N/C	1	*	15.10%	N/C	-	→	80.00	79.31	17	•	86.20	85.44	4	•	92.30	91.37	4	•	18.80	13.70	1	•	
Holgate	7.00%	7.81%	4	•	15.20%	14.04%	8	4	78.80	79.59	15	•	84.90	84.96	7	•	103.30	108.04	15	•	26.00	32.10	17	•	
Hull Road	9.60%	10.26%	10	•	21.90%	18.60%	12	4	80.00	78.58	18	4	82.10	82.11	20	•	93.10	91.41	5	4	23.30	26.70	10	•	
Huntington and New Earswick	11.30%	10.53%	11	4	17.60%	20.69%	16	•	81.90	80.98	9	4	84.20	84.08	11	+	114.70	119.44	19	•	21.00	30.40	13	•	
Micklegate	5.90%	5.17%	2	4	14.20%	11.43%	4	4	82.30	82.07	7	4	83.00	83.66	12	•	98.40	94.92	6	4	28.90	30.70	15	•	
Osbaldwick and Derwent	10.40%	11.11%	13	•	13.90%	12.20%	6	4	80.20	81.20	8	•	83.20	83.47	14	•	90.70	106.42	13	•	37.90	33.70	18	•	
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	7.30%	8.57%	6	•	19.70%	20.00%	14	•	78.90	80.18	13	•	82.70	82.97	16	•	96.90	99.51	10	•	26.10	18.20	4	4	
Rural West York	5.00%	5.00%	1	→	16.60%	16.22%	9	Ψ.	81.60	80.58	11	Ψ.	86.40	85.18	5	Ψ.	96.00	98.29	7	•	28.90	33.90	19	•	
Strensall	9.80%	8.33%	5	4	12.60%	16.28%	10	•	80.40	83.01	3	^	83.90	84.90	8	^	93.30	98.70	8	•	21.40	17.60	3	4	
Westfield	14.50%	13.04%	17	4	20.20%	26.32%	19	•	76.50	75.95	22	Ψ.	80.60	79.96	22	Ψ.	127.30	131.86	21	•	27.20	28.00	11	•	
Wheldrake	9.20%	8.70%	7	4	8.90%	9.38%	2	^	82.20	82.18	6	Ψ	83.40	85.04	6	↑	86.40	86.24	2	Ψ.	23.00	22.30	7	Ψ.	
York	9.10%	8.95%	_	Ψ.	16.20%	17.23%		•	80.20	80.17		Ψ.	83.40	83.58		^	102.50	105.91		•	26.40	27.40		•	



Ward performance by key areas - Adult Social Care

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

		Social I	solation		Homecar	e clients (p	er 1,000 pc	opulation)		etting paid p not resident			New customers requesting Adult Social Care support					
Ward	Previous Year 2018/2019	Latest Year 2019/2020	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral		
Acomb	1.86	2.22	21	•	52	43	13	•	69	69	12	*	44	41	11	→		
Bishopthorpe	2.00	1.88	13	4	22	16	4	•	28	28	18	→	22	23	20	→		
Clifton	2.00	1.86	11	4	59	57	17	4	82	83	8	→	37	37	12	→		
Copmanthorpe	2.55	1.78	7	4	18	11	2	•	25	23	21	→	23	15	22	→		
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	2.00	1.89	14	4	75	61	18	•	103	104	5	→	61	56	6	→		
Fishergate	1.96	1.75	6	4	65	46	14	•	81	73	9	→	43	34	15	→		
Fulford and Heslington	1.89	1.94	16	^	22	12	3	•	32	28	19	→	23	25	18	→		
Guildhall	1.83	1.70	5	4	39	26	9	•	74	70	11	→	50	48	8	→		
Haxby and Wigginton	2.16	2.14	19	4	76	48	15	•	115	94	6	→	83	69	4	→		
Heworth	2.12	1.66	4	4	112	77	19	•	149	134	4	→	59	62	5	→		
Heworth Without	2.11	1.50	1	4	23	23	6	→	23	24	20	→	21	24	19	→		
Holgate	1.95	1.84	10	4	63	48	16	•	97	84	7	→	51	44	9	→		
Hull Road	1.31	2.17	20	^	23	20	5	•	42	36	16	→	24	32	16	→		
Huntington and New Earswick	2.01	1.86	12	4	158	90	21	•	203	183	2	→	87	74	3	→		
Micklegate	1.87	1.80	8	4	52	33	11	•	79	68	13	→	47	44	10	→		
Osbaldwick and Derwent	2.13	1.90	15	4	41	25	8	•	47	42	14	→	50	36	13	→		
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	1.79	2.04	17	^	62	37	12	•	76	72	10	→	47	51	7	→		
Rural West York	2.16	2.04	18	1	33	24	7	•	44	39	15	→	48	35	14	→		
Strensall	1.65	1.52	2	4	37	26	10	•	44	36	17	→	22	31	17	→		
Westfield	2.04	1.82	9	4	119	85	20	•	157	138	3	→	76	78	2	→		
Wheldrake	2.13	1.57	3	4	10	10	1	→	16	17	22	→	14	17	21	→		
York	1.98	1.85		4	1,175	828		•	1,636	1,488		→	1,062	989		->		



Ward performance by key areas - Public Realm

This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Cri	Crime (per 1,000 population)				6B (per 1,00	00 population	on)		who think roblem in t				e in, relati	e that York vely free fro		% of road area that is Structurally Impaired (Grade 5)					
Ward	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Bad		
Acomb	34.64	44.72	9	↑	28.20	26.67	18	•	64.29%	78.26%	9	↑	76.92%	86.96%	3	↑	8.47%	10.57%	13	•		
Bishopthorpe	20.08	23.85	3	↑	21.54	11.33	3	4	72.73%	83.33%	4	1	100.00%	83.33%	5	Ψ	10.28%	12.34%	16	•		
Clifton	67.93	79.93	18	↑	47.93	25.78	15	4	73.68%	63.16%	20	Ψ	88.89%	78.95%	8	Ψ	9.61%	12.35%	17	•		
Copmanthorpe	16.35	24.13	6	↑	19.94	12.06	4	•	91.67%	90.91%	2	Ψ	75.00%	72.73%	12	•	7.13%	9.07%	8	•		
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	36.40	46.89	10	↑	30.28	19.93	10	•	70.37%	72.73%	16	^	74.07%	68.75%	14	•	10.95%	10.42%	12	•		
Fishergate	51.51	52.43	12	↑	35.41	23.03	12	Ψ	73.68%	76.19%	11	↑	84.21%	95.24%	1	↑	9.66%	9.30%	9	•		
Fulford and Heslington	45.24	66.07	16	↑	31.24	22.28	11	Ψ	100.00%	88.89%	3	Ψ	66.67%	66.67%	16	→	3.66%	5.25%	1	•		
Guildhall	133.57	194.54	21	↑	116.27	88.05	21	Ψ	70.83%	57.14%	21	Ψ	95.83%	67.86%	15	4	10.22%	13.23%	19	•		
Haxby and Wigginton	20.76	24.07	5	↑	23.96	17.91	8	Ψ	86.96%	83.33%	5	Ψ	91.67%	90.00%	2	Ψ	6.81%	8.41%	5	•		
Heworth	50.13	57.06	14	↑	48.94	25.81	16	Ψ	81.82%	65.22%	19	Ψ	59.09%	63.64%	19	↑	10.82%	13.55%	20	•		
Heworth Without	15.40	19.05	1	↑	13.57	6.86	2	Ψ	85.71%	75.00%	12	Ψ	86.67%	81.82%	6	Ψ	10.66%	11.92%	15	•		
Holgate	51.18	53.19	13	↑	38.75	26.16	17	Ψ	61.76%	73.08%	15	↑	67.65%	61.54%	21	Ψ	12.88%	13.62%	21	•		
Hull Road	36.18	39.77	8	^	25.95	13.58	7	Ψ	60.00%	50.00%	22	Ψ	60.00%	62.50%	20	^	7.79%	8.52%	6	•		
Huntington and New Earswick	53.73	65.59	15	1	35.19	24.25	14	Ψ	90.00%	69.23%	18	Ψ	85.71%	73.08%	11	Ψ	5.01%	6.60%	2	•		
Micklegate	76.03	129.80	20	^	75.93	66.07	20	Ψ	82.14%	70.73%	17	Ψ	78.57%	78.05%	9	Ψ	11.51%	10.89%	14	•		
Osbaldwick and Derwent	26.45	23.88	4	Ψ	25.27	12.12	5	•	76.19%	77.27%	10	↑	85.71%	81.82%	7	•	8.46%	8.65%	7	•		
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	53.24	67.06	17	↑	42.59	18.92	9	•	76.92%	80.00%	8	^	72.00%	84.00%	4	^	6.70%	8.25%	4	•		
Rural West York	43.07	50.09	11	^	40.92	23.27	13	•	91.30%	82.35%	6	Ψ	95.45%	66.67%	17	•	9.44%	7.95%	3	Ψ.		
Strensall	23.11	23.21	2	^	22.98	12.40	6	•	93.75%	80.95%	7	Ψ	92.86%	66.67%	18	Ψ	9.65%	9.72%	11	^		
Westfield	79.58	96.02	19	^	61.84	49.04	19	Ψ	71.43%	73.33%	14	^	71.43%	50.00%	22	•	9.83%	9.54%	10	Ψ		
Wheldrake	27.31	29.70	7	^	21.56	6.47	1	•	72.73%	100.00%	1	^	54.55%	71.43%	13	^	11.64%	12.79%	18	^		
York	52.91	67.96		1	44.38	30.36		Ψ	77.67%	73.63%		4	79.75%	74.36%		4	8.89%	9.63%		^		



Ward performance by key areas - Schools and Educational Attainment
This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Primar	Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals				ry school p Schoo	oupils clain I Meals	ning Free	ŀ	Key Stage 2	2 Attainmer	nt	Key Stage 4 Attainment					
Ward	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral	Previous Year 2020/2021	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Neutral	Previous Year 2017/2018	Latest Year 2018/2019	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2017/2018	Latest Year 2018/2019	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good		
Acomb	13.50%	14.43%	10	→	14.50%	15.08%	6	→	66.67%	69.39%	12	↑	62.73%	65.66%	17	•		
Bishopthorpe	2.90%	4.30%	19	→	3.40%	1.77%	22	→	70.83%	76.47%	4	↑	84.00%	91.67%	3	•		
Clifton	28.80%	29.41%	1	→	26.70%	30.28%	1	→	53.01%	50.68%	22	Ψ	53.85%	65.56%	18	•		
Copmanthorpe	2.90%	2.74%	21	→	5.10%	4.00%	18	→	63.41%	62.50%	18	Ψ.	66.67%	90.00%	5	^		
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	10.30%	11.21%	13	→	10.80%	12.18%	10	→	76.79%	70.54%	9	Ψ	68.00%	72.82%	14	^		
Fishergate	11.20%	12.66%	11	→	7.70%	8.26%	13	→	72.86%	73.08%	7	^	65.22%	76.12%	9	^		
Fulford and Heslington	7.90%	14.61%	9	→	6.30%	7.59%	15	→	54.29%	69.57%	10	^	63.33%	63.89%	19	^		
Guildhall	24.80%	28.38%	2	→	16.90%	17.00%	5	→	57.14%	62.50%	19	^	73.17%	61.90%	20	Ψ		
Haxby and Wigginton	5.10%	5.81%	18	→	4.90%	4.91%	17	→	72.80%	76.23%	5	^	78.89%	75.96%	10	Ψ		
Heworth	21.90%	23.89%	5	→	21.90%	23.61%	4	→	56.43%	65.19%	16	^	63.16%	61.40%	21	Ψ		
Heworth Without	2.50%	2.68%	22	→	5.20%	3.98%	19	→	72.22%	89.29%	1	↑	91.43%	100.00%	1	^		
Holgate	17.30%	20.27%	6	→	12.80%	12.62%	9	→	78.43%	78.20%	3	Ψ	64.44%	69.51%	15	^		
Hull Road	24.80%	27.18%	3	→	28.00%	29.44%	2	→	52.94%	59.57%	20	^	60.56%	66.04%	16	^		
Huntington and New Earswick	13.30%	15.84%	7	→	13.70%	13.60%	7	→	65.89%	64.23%	17	Ψ	73.91%	75.00%	11	^		
Micklegate	9.20%	9.37%	15	→	9.80%	8.91%	12	→	80.25%	74.68%	6	Ψ	77.05%	81.54%	6	^		
Osbaldwick and Derwent	11.60%	11.52%	12	→	11.60%	11.34%	11	→	68.29%	70.87%	8	^	75.31%	90.54%	4	^		
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	7.90%	10.59%	14	→	8.60%	8.05%	14	→	64.23%	69.54%	11	1	64.42%	74.26%	12	^		
Rural West York	8.30%	8.00%	16	→	6.70%	6.73%	16	→	73.61%	67.82%	14	Ψ	80.70%	77.78%	8	Ψ		
Strensall	6.90%	7.31%	17	→	3.60%	3.70%	20	→	67.74%	66.67%	15	Ψ	85.71%	78.26%	7	Ψ		
Westfield	23.00%	24.50%	4	→	21.80%	24.70%	3	→	49.14%	54.05%	21	1	57.25%	59.68%	22	^		
Wheldrake	0.90%	2.90%	20	→	1.40%	2.37%	21	→	75.51%	80.65%	2	^	88.89%	94.34%	2	^		
York	13.60%	15.30%		→	12.10%	13.25%		→	66.00%	68.00%		^	69.60%	73.60%		^		



Ward performance by key areas - Resident Engagement


This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within York's wards - more detail is provided in the individual profiles.

	Residents		vith their loo	cal area as	Residents	s who agre	e that they cal area	belong to		r children a	r local area and young p w up		Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area					
Ward	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good	Previous Year 2019/2020	Latest Year 2021/2022	Latest Year Rank (1 is Good)	Direction of Travel Up is Good		
Acomb	86.67%	84.00%	14	•	66.67%	85.00%	6	↑	46.67%	56.52%	18	•	6.67%	21.74%	13	•		
Bishopthorpe	92.31%	91.67%	4	4	83.33%	83.33%	8	→	100.00%	100.00%	1	*	25.00%	33.33%	5	^		
Clifton	90.48%	65.22%	21	4	73.68%	52.63%	22	Ψ	75.00%	52.63%	20	4	26.32%	5.26%	21	4		
Copmanthorpe	92.31%	100.00%	1	↑	81.82%	81.82%	10	→	83.33%	100.00%	2	↑	33.33%	36.36%	4	^		
Dringhouses and Woodthorpe	80.00%	86.11%	10	↑	73.08%	81.82%	11	^	69.23%	62.86%	16	4	33.33%	24.24%	12	Ψ		
Fishergate	90.48%	85.71%	11	Ψ	94.44%	85.71%	4	Ψ	88.89%	65.00%	15	4	38.89%	25.00%	10	Ψ		
Fulford and Heslington	88.89%	77.78%	16	Ψ	66.67%	62.50%	19	Ψ	75.00%	88.89%	4	↑	33.33%	11.11%	17	Ψ		
Guildhall	70.83%	79.31%	15	↑	83.33%	70.37%	16	Ψ	78.26%	57.14%	17	4	20.83%	28.57%	8	^		
Haxby and Wigginton	95.83%	90.32%	6	Ψ	95.83%	93.33%	1	Ψ	95.65%	96.77%	3	↑	50.00%	36.67%	3	Ψ		
Heworth	77.27%	73.08%	20	Ψ	75.00%	68.18%	17	Ψ	47.62%	52.17%	21	↑	31.82%	9.09%	19	Ψ		
Heworth Without	100.00%	92.31%	3	Ψ.	93.33%	91.67%	2	Ψ	100.00%	83.33%	7	4	37.50%	9.09%	20	Ψ		
Holgate	73.68%	75.86%	18	↑	75.86%	83.33%	9	↑	54.55%	69.23%	13	^	21.21%	30.77%	7	^		
Hull Road	60.00%	52.94%	22	Ψ	70.00%	71.43%	14	↑	80.00%	43.75%	22	4	10.00%	18.75%	14	^		
Huntington and New Earswick	95.24%	77.78%	17	Ψ.	76.19%	64.00%	18	Ψ	80.95%	76.92%	8	4	42.86%	15.38%	16	Ψ		
Micklegate	79.31%	88.64%	8	↑	70.37%	85.00%	7	↑	67.86%	69.05%	14	^	28.57%	41.46%	2	^		
Osbaldwick and Derwent	95.24%	86.96%	9	Ψ	76.19%	86.36%	3	↑	100.00%	72.73%	10	4	38.10%	27.27%	9	Ψ		
Rawcliffe and Clifton Without	88.46%	89.29%	7	↑	63.64%	56.00%	21	Ψ	76.92%	73.91%	9	4	12.00%	16.00%	15	^		
Rural West York	91.30%	100.00%	2	^	77.27%	77.78%	13	↑	79.17%	88.89%	5	^	29.17%	0.00%	22	Ψ		
Strensall	82.35%	90.91%	5	^	93.33%	85.71%	5	Ψ	87.50%	71.43%	12	4	25.00%	10.00%	18	Ψ		
Westfield	71.43%	73.33%	19	^	66.67%	61.54%	20	Ψ	50.00%	53.33%	19	1	28.57%	33.33%	6	^		
Wheldrake	81.82%	85.71%	12	^	88.89%	71.43%	15	Ψ	80.00%	85.71%	6	^	20.00%	42.86%	1	^		
York	84.47%	84.38%		Ψ	77.78%	78.09%		↑	75.43%	71.54%		4	29.06%	24.26%		Ψ		




Summary


- York has 211,012 residents with 5.7% from a black and minority ethnic community group. 83.9% are in good health, with 15.3% stating that they have some limitation in day to day activities.
- £691.90 was the Average Net Weekly Household Income in 2017/18 (£637.58 in 2015/2016).
- 66% own their own home, either outright or with a mortgage, 18% are private renters and 14% are social tenants. There are 7.400 Council Houses in York.
- 73.5% of residents have a Level 1 4 qualification, of which 62.9% are, at least, qualified to Level 2, but 18.0% have no qualifications at all.
- 13.0% of children are living in low income families (7.7% of children live in a household where a parent or guardian claims an out-of-work benefit) and there are 8.9% of households in fuel poverty.
- 2.0% of the working population (aged 16-64) claim out of work benefits (either Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit)

















A: Agriculture, forestry and fishing, B: Mining and quarrying, C: Manufacturing, D: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply, E: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities, F: Construction


G: Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles, H: Transport and storage, I: Accommodation and food service activities, J: Information and communication, K: Financial and insurance activities, L: Real estate activities

M: Professional, scientific and technical activities, N: Administrative and support service activities, O: Public administration and defence; compulsory social security, P: Education, Q: Human health and social work activities

























Fuel Poverty

The new fuel poverty metric Low Income Low Energy Efficiency (LILEE) considers a household to be fuel poor if:


- \bullet it is living in a property with an energy efficiency rating of band D, E, F or G; and
- its disposable income (income after housing costs (AHC) and energy needs) would be below the poverty line.



Pension Credit

Source: HM Revenue & Customs


Pension Credit is an income-related benefit made up of 2 parts - Guarantee Credit and Savings Credit.




Source: Department for Work and Pensions (DWP)

Indices of Multiple Deprivation


The IMD measures and rates a number of different domains affecting quality of life including income, employment, education and skills, health and disability, crime, barriers to services and quality of living environments.















































































Source: Public Health England

Emergency hospital admissions for injuries resulting from a fall (over 65), per 1,000 population


Falls data: the figures given here are taken from Hospital Episode Statistics data, which takes the number of those aged 65 or over being admitted to hospital on a non-elective basis at least once in each year as a result of a fall and divides it by the number of those of that age group resident in each ward to give a rate per 1,000 population.

























■York - 2017/2018

■National


Key Stage 2 (aged 10-11)

(C or above before 2016/17)

2018/2019

Source: Department for Education - 2018/19








Broadband coverage and speeds

In March 2017 the UK Government introduced the Universal Service Obligation (USO) for broadband as part of its commitment in the UK Digital Strategy to ensure that the UK has world-class digital connectivity and inclusion.


Measure	York	National	Summary
Average download speed (Mb/s)	147.10	68.92	faster than the National average
Superfast broadband availability	94.13%	94.91%	worse than the National average
Connections receiving:			
slowest speeds (under 2 Mb/s)	0.04%	0.28%	lower than the National average
slower speeds (under 10 Mb/s)	0.81%	1.44%	lower than the National average
superfast speeds (over 30 Mb/s)	93.35%	92.75%	higher than the National average

This data is based on analysis of Ofcom's Connected Nations data for 2020/2021. Ofcom collected and analysed data from major fixed telecoms operators (BT, Virgin Media, Sky, Talk Talk, Vodafone and KCOM). The availability data also includes coverage information provided by alternative network providers (B4RN, BU-UK, City Fibre, Gigaclear, Hyperoptic, ITS and Relish). Due to variations in broadband performance over time, Ofcom cautions that the data should not be regarded as a definitive and fixed view of the broadband infrastructure but rather a snapshot of current variation in availability and performance across the country. Due to privacy concerns Ofcom did not present the information in postcodes with fewer than four broadband connections.


Resident Engagement Talkabout Survey Respondents - Equality profile Number of Responses: Q1 - 606, Q3 - 544 100% 92.2% 91.0% 80% 60% 43.2% 41.9% 40% 33.6% 23.0% 4.0% 36 3% 18.4% 11.8%<mark>23.0%</mark> 20% 10.6% 9.0% N/00.2%6.0%^{4.0%}11.0% 13.0% 14.0% 8.0% 8.0% 0% Female Male Age 25-34 Ethnicity: Ethnicity: Carer Age 55-64 Age 65-74 Age 75+ Other BME ■Q1 ■Q3



















Experian Groups

O Rental Hubs

Young singles and homesharers, rent flats, very high internet use, internet via smartphone, watch videos online.

E Senior Security

Retired singles and couples, pre-war generation, established in community, low internet use, have wills.

G Domestic Success

Families with children, mid to high household income, monthly discretionary income under £1000, very high mortgage debt, internet via smartphone.

H Aspiring Homemakers

Families with young children, 3 bedrooms, high outstanding mortgages, internet via smartphone, texts and photos on smartphone.

B Prestige Positions

Own large, detached houses, highly educated, high discretionary income, garden or allotment, pay credit cards in full.

F Suburban Stability

Older families, no children, own mid-value semis, 3 bedrooms, established in community, news and media sites.

I Family Basics

Families with lots of children, council/ha tenants, low discretionary income, low affluence, internet via smartphone.

Experian Types

O61 Career Builders



Young singles and homesharers, rent flats, university degrees, work full-time, access internet at work.

G29 Mid-Career Convention

Married couples, 2+ children, generation x, homeowners, outstanding mortgage.

N60 Ageing Access

Retired singles, baby boomers, purpose built flats, 1 or 2 bedrooms, no outstanding mortgage.

E18 Legacy Elders

Retired singles, pre-war generation, university degrees, homeowners, no outstanding mortgage.

B09 Empty-Nest Adventure

Married couples, no children, baby boomers, large, detached houses, highly educated, high discretionary income.

O62 Central Pulse

Rent 1 bed flats, university degrees, access internet at work, electric central heating, low environmental impact gap.

H30 Primary Ambitions

Families with young children, 3 bed terraces, vocational qualifications, high outstanding mortgages, internet via smartphone.

I36 Solid Economy

Council/HA tenants, school-age children, no qualifications, low discretionary income, standard current/savings account.

E19 Bungalow Haven

Pre-war generation, own bungalows, no outstanding mortgage, university degrees, pay credit cards in full.

E20 Classic Grandparents

Retired couples, established in community, no qualifications, low internet use, have wills.