

				Pr	evious Yea	ırs		2021	/2022				
			Collection Frequency	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	87aa	Secondary school persistent absence rate (10% absence) (recorded over 6 terms)	Annual	13.18%	NC	(Avail Jul 2022)	-	<del>-</del>	-	Available Dec 2022	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
Е	EFL11	Voice of the Child - Service Usage	Quarterly	NC	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
E	EFL12	Voice of the Child - Life opportunities	Quarterly	NC	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	Narrative	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	EH7	% of children who have achieved a Good Level of Development (GLD) at Foundation Stage - (Snapshot)	Annual	75.60%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	71.80%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
00.		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	70.00%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
Olincii	KS4a	Average Progress 8 score from Key Stage 2 to Key Stage 4	Annual	0.22	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
olan Ir		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	0.01	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
Council Plan Indicators	KS4c	% of pupils achieving 9-4 or above in English & Maths at Key Stage 4 (C or above before 2016/17)	Annual	73.60%	NC	NC	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	65.70%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	KS4i	%pt gap between disadvantaged pupils (eligible for FSM in the last 6 years, children in care and adopted from care) and their peers achieving 9-4 in English & Maths at Key Stage 4	Annual	29.00%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>◀▶</b> Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	27.00%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
NE	EET02c	% of Year 12-13 (academic age 16-17) NEET who possess less than a L2 qualification (New definition Jan 2017) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	85.90%	85.50%	89.40%	90.60%	80.60%	84.10%	85.40%	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral

			Pr	evious Yea	ırs	2021/2022						
		Collection Frequency	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	% of final Education, Health & Care Plans issued within statutory time limits, including exceptions (definition changed Sept 2014)	Monthly	77.90%	88.70%	74.70%	62.00%	86.00%	NC	84.00%	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
103b	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	58.00%	58.70%	55.60%	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	59.80%	71.10%	68.90%	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Comparator Data	Annual	64.30%	57.80%	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	% of care leavers aged 19-21 (19 before 2014/15) in suitable accommodation - (Snapshot)	Monthly	77.00%	95.00%	90.24%	93.59%	93.90%	92.68%	83.54%	95%	Up is Good	▼ Red
147	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	85.00%	85.00%	88.00%	-	-	-	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	87.00%	89.00%	89.00%	-	-	-	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
	Benchmark - Comparator Data	Annual	83.30%	86.10%	89.20%	-	-	-	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
	% of children becoming subject to a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time - (YTD)	Monthly	33.03%	26.05%	25.57%	32.79%	36.44%	34.15%	29.11%	20%	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra
65	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	21.00%	21.90%	22.10%	-	-	-	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	20.00%	20.20%	21.50%	-	-	<u>-</u>	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
	Benchmark - Comparator Data	Annual	23.00%	20.70%	23.50%	-	-	-	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
CSC01	Number of Contacts to Children's Services - (YTD)	Monthly	5,523	7,777	7,631	2,331	4,345	6,404	8,620	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra
0.451	Total number of LA-funded out-City placements (0-19 years) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	12	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
CYPL1	Total number of LA-funded out-City placements (0-25 years) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	27	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
CYPL2c	Number of Early Help Assessments initiated	Monthly	260	245	344	162	148	117	90	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra

				Pı	evious Yea	ars		2021	/2022				
			Collection Frequency	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		Children in care per 10k, excluding Short Breaks - (Snapshot)	Monthly	56.22	70.81	75.14	74.05	72.43	74.59	74.59	60-67	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	65	67	67	-	-	-	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
EF	FL1	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	74	77	78	-	-	-	(Avail Dec 2022)	-		
3		Benchmark - Comparator Data	Annual	61	60.3	62.5	-	-	-	(Avail Nov 2022)	-		
Ohildren's Cocial Care		Children subject to a Child Protection Plan per 10k - (Snapshot)	Monthly	43.51	64.59	34.86	37.3	43.51	36.76	34.05	30-38	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
, , ,	<b>5</b> 1.0	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	44	42.8	41.4	-	-	-	(Avail Nov 2022)	-		
S EF	FL2	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	50	53.6	49	-	-	-	(Avail Nov 2022)	-		
,		Benchmark - Comparator Data	Annual	36	31.6	31.8	-	-	-	(Avail Nov 2022)	-		
MIP	Pack1	Number of Referrals to Children's Social Care - (YTD)	Monthly	1,101	2,148	1,552	454	858	1,198	1,588	1480-1700	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutra
MIP	Pack2	% of children entering care who have previously been looked after, excluding Short Breaks - (YTD)	Monthly	15.71%	12.71%	17.98%	31.58%	32.43%	22.81%	21.43%	<u>-</u>	Up is Bad	A Red
TF2	2-A01	Number of Troubled Families (Families identified with 2 or more headline criteria) - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	1,078	1,328	1,440	1,481	1,513	1,542	1,574	-	Up is Good	 Green
TF2-	2-A01i	Number of Troubled Families On-Programme - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	804	1,054	1,175	1,203	1,242	1,253	1,261	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
TF2	2-A02	% of Troubled Families who have achieved an outcome - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	20.00%	31.00%	47.00%	43.00%	45.00%	47.00%	50.00%	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
23		First time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10-17 (per 100,000 10-17 year olds in York) - (Rolling 12 Month)	Quarterly	288	219.4	147.5	153.62	172.05	176.9	176.9	200	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutra
2 1	11	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	222	206	157	167	154	145	NC	-		
1	111 Be	Benchmark - Regional Data (Region changed from 2018/19)	Quarterly	224	228	178	194	189	180	NC	-		
2		Benchmark - Comparator Data (New Family Group from 2017/18)	Quarterly	163	159	157	132	158	155	NC	- -		

				Pr	evious Yea	ars		2021	/2022				
			Collection Frequency	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
03. Youth	45	% of young people ending their YOT supervised court order who are NEET (NEW definition 2016/17 - cumulative) - (YTD)	Quarterly	29.50%	18.00%	33.30%	14.30%	14.30%	27.78%	22.22%	20%	Up is Bad	<b>◀▶</b> Neutral
		% of Year 12-13 (academic age 16-17) young people who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	2.70%	2.40%	1.90%	1.90%	0.90%	1.20%	1.10%	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
	117c	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	2.80%	2.80%	3.00%	3.00%	1.60%	2.50%	2.70%	-		
04. Education		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.40%	1.80%	2.80%	3.10%	-		
ucation		Benchmark - Comparator Data	Quarterly	2.30%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	1.50%	2.20%	2.40%	-		
<b>)</b>	RM5	% of primary schools oversubscribed by 5% or more (@ January school census) - (Snapshot)	Annual	4.00%	0.00%	2.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
	RM6	% of secondary schools oversubscribed by 5% or more in years 7-11 (@ January school census) - (Snapshot)	Annual	0.00%	11.00%	33.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		%pt gap between FSM and non-FSM pupils at 15, who attain a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 - (Snapshot)	Annual	37.82%	22.65%	35.93%	-	-	-	(Avail Apr 2023)	-	Up is Bad	A Red
	81	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	25.32%	24.85%	24.77%	-	-	-	(Avail Apr 2023)	<u>-</u>		
	0.	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	26.38%	25.40%	24.08%	-	-	-	(Avail Apr 2023)	-		
05.		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	15	4	15	-	-	-	(Avail Apr 2023)	-		
05. Attainment		% of children who have achieved a Good Level of Development (GLD) at Foundation Stage - (Snapshot)	Annual	75.60%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
nt	EH7	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	71.80%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	70.00%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	KS2b	%pt gap in achievement between disadvantaged pupils (eligible for FSM in the last 6 years, children in care and adopted from care) and their peers - Key Stage 2 Reading, Writing & Maths (aged 10-11)	Annual	32.00%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral

				Pr	evious Yea	ars		2021	/2022				
			Collection Frequency	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	KS4a	Average Progress 8 score from Key Stage 2 to Key Stage 4	Annual	0.22	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>∢</b> ► Neutral
	NOTA	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	0.01	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	KS4c	% of pupils achieving 9-4 or above in English & Maths at Key Stage 4 (C or above before 2016/17)	Annual	73.60%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
)5. Att		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	65.70%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
05. Attainment	KS4i	%pt gap between disadvantaged pupils (eligible for FSM in the last 6 years, children in care and adopted from care) and their peers achieving 9-4 in English & Maths at Key Stage 4	Annual	29.00%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	27.00%	NC	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	NEET02c	% of Year 12-13 (academic age 16-17) NEET who possess less than a L2 qualification (New definition Jan 2017) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	85.90%	85.50%	89.40%	90.60%	80.60%	84.10%	85.40%	<u>-</u>	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
	87aa	Secondary school persistent absence rate (10% absence) (recorded over 6 terms)	Annual	13.18%	NC	(Avail Jul 2022)	-	<u>-</u>	-	Available Dec 2022	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
0		% of half days missed by pupils due to overall absence (including authorised and unauthorised absence)	Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
5. Atte	PHOF22	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-		
06. Attendance	1110122	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
(D		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	RM16	Number of fixed term exclusions in the secondary sector	Annual	1,228	784	(Avail Jul 2022)	-	-	-	Available Dec 2022	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
07.	RM91	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Outstanding - %	Quarterly	24.00%	24.00%	24.00%	24.00%	24.00%	22.00%	21.00%	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
7. Ofst	RM93	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Good -%	Quarterly	63.00%	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%	67.00%	67.00%	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
Ofsted Ratings	RM95	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Requires improvement -%	Quarterly	6.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	10.00%	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
gs	RM97	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Inadequate -%	Quarterly	6.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral

				Pr	evious Yea	ars		2021	/2022				
			Collection Frequency	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
07. Ofstec	RM98	% of pupils attending good or outstanding schools - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	86.60%	91.00%	91.00%	90.80%	91.00%	91.00%	90.00%	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		% of children who are eligible for a free school meal in the primary sector (excluding Danesgate)	Annual	9.40%	10.99%	13.60%	-	-	-	15.47%	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
08.	PriFSM	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	15.80%	17.70%	21.60%	-	-	-	Available Dec 2022	-		
Free S		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	17.70%	19.70%	23.60%	-	-	-	Available Dec 2022	-		
08. Free School Meals		% of children who are eligible for a free school meal in the secondary sector (excluding Danesgate)	Annual	7.60%	9.04%	11.70%	-	-	-	12.60%	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
als	SecFSM	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	14.10%	15.90%	18.90%	-	-	-	Available Dec 2022	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	16.20%	19.40%	21.50%	-	-	-	Available Dec 2022	-		
	NOMBOA	% of reception year children recorded as being obese (single year)	Annual	9.50%	7.60%	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	9.50%	9.90%	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	NCMP01	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	10.20%	10.50%	NC	-	-	<del>-</del>	-	_		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	1	NC	<u>-</u>	-	-	<u>-</u>	-		
09.		% of children in Year 6 recorded as being obese (single year)	Annual	15.10%	22.10%	NC	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
09. Health	NOMBOO	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	20.20%	21.00%	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	NCMP02	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	21.00%	21.90%	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	7	NC	-	-	-	-	-		
	<b>TAB</b> (2)	% of panel agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up	Quarterly	77.78%	75.43%	NC	74.00%	NC	71.54%	NC	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
	TAP10	% of panel disagree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up	Quarterly	7.41%	9.68%	NC	8.00%	NC	10.14%	NC	-	Up is Bad	A Red

				Pr	evious Yea	ırs		2021	/2022				
			Collection Frequency	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
10.	AS1	% of young people aged 16-18 in Apprenticeships (as a proportion of 16-18 previously in a York Secondary School)	Annual	9.00%	8.20%	7.00%	-	-	-	6.40%	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
	AS2	Number of Apprenticeships starts - York resident young people aged 16-18	Annual	320	200	220	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
Employment	AS3	Number of Apprenticeships starts - York resident young people aged 19-24	Annual	400	240	280	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
•	AS4	Number of Apprenticeships starts - York resident young people aged 25+	Annual	630	380	510	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
		Large Project - Local Plan	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
11. La		Large Project - Provision of School Places	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
Large Pr	CORP10 L	Large Project - Inclusion Review	Quarterly	Green	Green	Amber	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral
Projects		Large Project - Future Libraries Investment Programme	Quarterly	-	-	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Children's Home	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	-	Amber	Amber	-	Neutral	<b>⋖</b> ► Neutral