


York Summary

- York has 209,893 residents with 5.7% from a black and minority ethnic community group. 83.9% are in good health, with 15.3% stating that they have some limitation in day to day activities.
- £691.90 was the Average Net Weekly Household Income in 2017/18 (£637.58 in 2015/2016).
- 66% own their own home, either outright or with a mortgage, 18% are private renters and 14% are social tenants. There are 7,540 Council Houses in York.
- 73.5% of residents have a Level 1 4 qualification, of which 62.9% are, at least, qualified to Level 2, but 18.0% have no qualifications at all.
- 12.4% of children are living in low income families (7.7% of children live in a household where a parent or guardian claims an out-of-work benefit) and there are 8.9% of households in fuel poverty.
- 3.5% of the working population (aged 16-64) claim out of work benefits (either Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit)



Ward Summary

- Bishopthorpe has 4,127 residents with 1.9% from a black and minority ethnic community group. 82.7% are in good health, with 17.6% stating that they have some limitation in day to day activities.
- £715.38 was the Average Net Weekly Household Income in 2017/18 (£692.31 in 2015/2016).
- 88% own their own home, either outright or with a mortgage, 7% are private renters and 4% are social tenants. There are 65 Council Houses in this ward, which is 0.86% of York's total.
- 72.9% of residents have a Level 1 4 qualification, of which 62.6% are, at least, qualified to Level 2, but 18.0% have no qualifications at all.
- 5.6% of children are living in low income families (1.2% of children live in a household where a parent or guardian claims an out-of-work benefit) and there are 7.4% of households in fuel poverty.
- 2.2% of the working population (aged 16-64) claim out of work benefits (either Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit)




Ward performance by key areas								
This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within the ward - more detail is provided later in the profile.								
				Performance (latest data)				
Bishopthorpe Ward		Best Ward in York	Worst Ward in York	York Ward Average	Good	Area of concern	In Top 5 Wards	In Bottom 5 Wards
Economy						below the e ± 10%	P	ages 8 - 9
Universal Credit (out of work)	2.20%	2.10%	6.70%	3.30%				
claimants Residents who agree the council and its partners are helping to create jobs in the city	18.18%	40.00%	0.00%	18.58%				
Residents who agree their skills and qualifications are suited to jobs available in York	80.00%	83.33%	36.36%	52.40%				
Business Startups:				1				
Number (YTD) per 10,000 working age	24.0	99.0	14.0	45.2		•		•
population (YTD)	110.1	116.0	29.6	73.9				
Poverty								Page 10
Fuel poverty (households)	7.39%	6.23%	15.33%	8.39%				
Children (aged 0- 15) living in low income families	5.60%	3.40%	22.30%	11.16%				
Health and Wellbeing							Pag	es 12 - 15
Reception year obesity	5.20%	5.00%	14.50%	8.54%				
Year 6 obesity	8.00%	8.00%	22.40%	15.21%				
Male life expectancy	83.9	86.6	76.5	80.5				
Female life expectancy	83.3	88.5	80.6	83.7				
Emergency hospital admissions for children (per 1,000 population)	153.7	138.9	209.6	174.8				
Elective hospital admissions	104.8	76.0	116.5	99.8				
Emergency hospital admissions	82.3	80.6	127.3	99.2				
Emergency hospital admissions for injuries resulting from a fall (over 65)	15.3	13.7	42.7	26.9				
Adult Social Care							Pag	es 16 - 17
Social Isolation	1.9	1.5	2.2	1.9				
Homecare hours (weekly average)	10.0	8.3	14.3	11.1				
Homecare clients (per 1,000 population)	5.3	1.5	12.5	5.4				
Clients getting paid packages of care that are not residential/nursing care	27.0	207.0	17.0	77.4				
New customers requesting Adult Social Care support	22.0	87.0	14.0	44.4				
People supported to live independently through social services: packages of care	26.0	182.0	19.0	76.6				
intervention	11.0	105.0	11.0	42.5				
Key: Good perfo	rmance		•	Area of cor	ncern			




Public Realm Crime (per 1,000 population) 4.8 3.1 26.2 10.1	This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within the ward - more detail is provided later in the profile.								
Public Realm				14.		Pe	erformance	e (latest da	ata)
ASB (per 1,000 population) ASB (per 1,000 population) ASB (per 1,000 population) 6.5 3.1 28.0 9.7 8.3 100.00% 60.00% 78.47% coral area Residents who think that hate corrieme is not a problem in their ocal area Residents who live in, relatively tree from crime and violence Street cleaning - Number of saues reported - Litter Street cleaning - Number of saues reported - Faces as a face that is Free From 24.69% As for oral area that is Free From 24.69% Defects (Grade 1) % of road area that is Structurally impaired (Grade 5) Schools and Educational Attainment Page 2 Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals Key Stage 2 Attainment 76.47% 89.29% 50.68% 69.13% 75.81% Page 2 Page 2 Page 2 Page 2 Page 3 Page 2 Page 3 Page 2 Page 4 Page 4 Page 4 Page 5 Page 5 Page 5 Page 6 Page 6 Page 6 Page 7 Page 7 Page 7 Page 7 Page 8 Page 8 Page 8 Page 9	Ward			Ward	Ward	Good		5	Bottom
ASB (per 1,000 population) Residents who think that hate crime is not a problem in their cocal area Residents who agree that York is a safe city to live in, relatively tree from crime and violence Street cleaning - Number of suces reported - Litter 3.0 N/A N/A 11.2 Street cleaning - Number of suces reported - Litter 3.0 N/A N/A 11.2 Street cleaning - Number of suces reported - Litter 3.0 N/A N/A 5.8 Street cleaning - Number of suces reported - Litter 3.0 N/A N/A 5.8 Suces reported - Faeces % of road area that is Free From Defects (Grade 1) % of road area that is Structurally Inpaired (Grade 5) Schools and Educational Attainment Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals Key Stage 2 Attainment \$76.47% 89.29% 50.68% 69.13% \$89.29% 50.68% 69.13% \$9.10% \$9.29% 50.68% 75.81% \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	Public Realm Page 18 - 19								ge 18 - 19
Residents who think that hate crime is not a problem in their cocal area Residents who agree that York is a safe city to live in, relatively free from crime and violence Street cleaning - Number of saues reported - Litter Street cleaning - Number of saues reported - Litter Street cleaning - Number of saues reported - Faeces of Seues reported -	Crime (per 1,000 population)	4.8	3.1	26.2	10.1				
100.00% 100.00% 78.47% 100.00% 100.00% 78.47% 100.00% 100.0	ASB (per 1,000 population)	6.5	3.1	28.0	9.7				
a safe city to live in, relatively rice from crime and violence Street cleaning - Number of ssues reported - Litter Street cleaning - Number of ssues reported - Litter Street cleaning - Number of ssues reported - Litter Street cleaning - Number of ssues reported - Faeces	Residents who think that hate crime is not a problem in their local area	72.73%	100.00%	60.00%	78.47%				
SSUBSTEPORTED LITTER S.0 N/A N/A 11.2 Street cleaning - Number of Secues reported - Faeces O.0 N/A N/A S.8 Street cleaning - Number of Secues reported - Faeces O.0 N/A N/A S.8 Street cleaning - Number of Secues reported - Faeces O.0 N/A N/A S.8 O.0	a safe city to live in, relatively free from crime and violence	100.00%	100.00%	54.55%	79.19%				
Street cleaning - Number of sasues reported - Faeces 0.0 N/A N/A 5.8 Sasues reported - Faeces 24.69% 42.40% 12.84% 22.83%		3.0	N/A	N/A	11.2				
## Actional area that is Free From Defects (Grade 1) ## Of road area that is Structurally impaired (Grade 5) ## Of road area that is Structurally impaired (Grade 5) ## Of road area that is Structurally impaired (Grade 5) ## Schools and Educational Attainment ## Page 2 ## Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals ## Secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals ## Secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals ## Key Stage 2 Attainment	Street cleaning - Number of	0.0	N/A	N/A	5.8				
Impaired (Grade 5)	% of road area that is Free From Defects (Grade 1)	24.69%	42.40%	12.84%	22.83%				
Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 2.90% N/A N/A 12.14% Secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 3.40% N/A N/A 11.50% 11.50% 11.50% 11.50% 11.50% 12.14% 12.14% 12.14% 12.14% 12.14% 12.14% 12.14% 12.14% 12.14% 12.15%	% of road area that is Structurally Impaired (Grade 5)	10.28%	3.66%	12.88%	9.10%		•		
Free School Meals Secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals Secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals Key Stage 2 Attainment 76.47% 89.29% 50.68% 69.13% Fravel time (in minutes) by public transport / walking to nearest Page 2 GP 24.2 8.2 29.1 17.6 Hospital 45.6 12.9 58.9 34.8 Frimary school 8.8 6.3 13.0 9.1 Secondary school 24.7 9.1 33.4 18.8 Froadband coverage and speeds Page 2 Average download speed (Mb/s) Superfast availability 92.63% 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% Residents satisfied with their ocal area as a place to live Residents who agree that they bean influence decisions in their local area Secondary school 8.8 6.3 13.0 9.1 100.00% 66.67% 86.13% Page 2 Average download speed (Mb/s) 100.00% 44.44% 69.18% Pages 22 - 2 Area of concern	Schools and Educational Attainment Page 20								
Free School Meals Key Stage 2 Attainment 76.47% 89.29% 50.68% 69.13% Free School Meals Key Stage 2 Attainment 76.47% 89.29% 50.68% 69.13% Free School Meals Free School Meals Key Stage 4 Attainment 76.47% 89.29% 50.68% 69.13% Free School Meals Free School Mea	Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals	2.90%	N/A	N/A	12.14%				
Rey Stage 4 Attainment 91.67% 100.00% 59.68% 75.81%	Secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals	3.40%	N/A	N/A	11.50%				
Travel time (in minutes) by public transport / walking to nearest GP 24.2 8.2 29.1 17.6	Key Stage 2 Attainment	76.47%	89.29%	50.68%	69.13%				
GP 24.2 8.2 29.1 17.6 ◆ Hospital 45.6 12.9 58.9 34.8 ◆ ◆ Primary school 8.8 6.3 13.0 9.1 □ Secondary school 24.7 9.1 33.4 18.8 ◆ ◆ Broadband coverage and speeds Page 2 Average download speed (Mb/s) 36.6 338.2 36.6 134.0 ◆ ◆ Superfast availability 92.63% 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% ■ Resident Engagement Pages 22 - 2 Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live 90.91% 100.00% 66.67% 86.13% ■ Residents who agree that they belong to their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up 100.00% 46.67% 76.98% ■ ■ Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area 100.00% 40.00% 0.00% 24.64% ● Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Area of concern ●	Key Stage 4 Attainment	91.67%	100.00%	59.68%	75.81%				
Hospital	Travel time (in minutes) by publ	ic transpoi	rt / walking	to nearest					Page 21
Primary school 8.8 6.3 13.0 9.1 Secondary school 24.7 9.1 33.4 18.8 ■ Page 2 Average download speed (Mb/s) Superfast availability 92.63% 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% Resident Engagement Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live Residents who agree that they belong to their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residenta Good performance 8.8 6.3 13.0 9.1 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% 100.00% 66.67% 86.13% 100.00% 44.44% 69.18% 100.00% 46.67% 76.98% Area of concern	GP	24.2	8.2	29.1	17.6		•		
Broadband coverage and speeds Average download speed (Mb/s) Superfast availability Resident Engagement Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live Residents who agree that they belong to their local area as a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Key: Good performance Page 2 36.6 134.0 40.00% 83.18% 94.27% 100.00% 86.13% 90.91% 100.00% 44.44% 69.18% 40.00% Area of concern	Hospital	45.6	12.9	58.9	34.8		•		•
Average download speed (Mb/s) Average download speed (Mb/s) Superfast availability 92.63% 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% Resident Engagement Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live Residents who agree that they belong to their local area Besidents agree their local area as a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they belong to their local area as a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they belong to grow up Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Besidents who agree that they can are a section and the local area are a section and the local area are a section and the local area are are are are are a section and the local area are are are are are are are are ar	Primary school	8.8	6.3	13.0	9.1				
Average download speed (Mb/s) 36.6 338.2 36.6 134.0 Superfast availability 92.63% 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% Resident Engagement Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live Residents who agree that they belong to their local area as a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Key: Good performance Good performance Area of concern	Secondary school	24.7	9.1	33.4	18.8		•		•
Superfast availability 92.63% 100.00% 83.18% 94.27% Resident Engagement Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live Residents who agree that they belong to their local area Residents agree their local area as a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they local area Residents who agree their local area Residents who agree their local area Residents who agree that they local area Residents who agree that they local area Residents who agree their local area Residents who agree that they local area Resid	Broadband coverage and speed	ls				•	•	•	Page 22
Resident Engagement Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live Residents who agree that they belong to their local area as a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents engagement 90.91% 100.00% 66.67% 86.13% 69.18% 69.1	Average download speed (Mb/s)	36.6	338.2	36.6	134.0		•		•
Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live Residents who agree that they belong to their local area Residents agree their local area as a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they are influence decisions in their local area 100.00% 100.00% 44.44% 69.18%	Superfast availability	92.63%	100.00%	83.18%	94.27%				
Residents who agree that they belong to their local area (as a good place for children and young people to grow up (an influence decisions in their local area (belong to	Resident Engagement Pages 22 - 23								
Residents who agree that they belong to their local area Residents agree their local area Residents agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Area of concern	Residents satisfied with their	90.91%	100.00%	66.67%	86.13%				
Residents agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area 100.00%	Residents who agree that they	63 64%	100 00%	44 1104	60 18%				
Is a good place for children and young people to grow up Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area Mathematical Residents who agree that they can influence decisions Mathematical Residents who agree Mathematical Residents Mathemati	belong to their local area Residents agree their local area	UJ.U+ /0	100.00 /0	TT.TT /0	03.1070				
can influence decisions in their local area 18.18% 40.00% 0.00% 24.64% Area of concern	is a good place for children and young people to grow up	100.00%	100.00%	46.67%	76.98%	•			
	Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area	18.18%	40.00%	0.00%	24.64%		•		
Further information about the ward is available at: Bishopthorpe Ward	Key: ■ Good performance ◆ Area of concern								
	Further information about the	ward is a	vailable at	t:	Bishopthor	pe Ward			















A: Agriculture, forestry and fishing, B: Mining and quarrying, C: Manufacturing, D: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply, E: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities, F: Construction


G: Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles, H: Transport and storage, I: Accommodation and food service activities, J: Information and communication, K: Financial and insurance activities, L: Real estate activities

M: Professional, scientific and technical activities, N: Administrative and support service activities, O: Public administration and defence; compulsory social security, P: Education, Q: Human health and social work activities


■York ■Bishopthorpe Source: Census 2011




























Child Poverty

The proportion of children (under 16) who are living in families whose reported income is less than 60 per cent of UK median income




Fuel Poverty

The Low Income High Costs indicator is a twin indicator consisting of:
• the number of households that have both low incomes and high fuel


• the depth of fuel poverty amongst these fuel poor households.

This is measured through a fuel poverty gap which represents the difference between the required fuel costs for each household and the median required fuel costs.



Pension Credit

Pension Credit is an income-related benefit made up of 2 parts - Guarantee Credit and Savings Credit.




Indices of Multiple Deprivation


The IMD measures and rates a number of different domains affecting quality of life including income, employment, education and skills, health and disability, crime, barriers to services and quality of living environments. A high score is


























Emergency hospital admissions for injuries resulting from a fall (over 65), per 1,000 population

Falls data: the figures given here are taken from Hospital Episode Statistics data, which takes the number of those aged 65 or over being admitted to hospital on a non-elective basis at least once in each year as a result of a fall and divides it by the number of those of that age group resident in each ward to give a rate per 1,000 population.












Social isolation


Social isolation: This is based on respondents to two questions on the Adult Social Care Survey regarding social contact and how time is spent.

A score of 1 indicates that the respondent has plenty of social contact and that they spend their time doing things they enjoy A score of 4 indicates that the respondent feels socially isolated and that they don't feel they do anything of value with their time.


The mean of all respondents' responses to both questions is the score presented here.
































Education and Schools

The following school catchment areas are part of Bishopthorpe Ward:


Primary: Bishopthorpe Infant/AYJ, Dringhouses and Knavesmire.

Secondary: Fulford Secondary and Millthorpe.


The following data only relates to those pupils, from this ward, who attend York Schools.



Source: School Census - October










Broadband coverage and speeds

In March 2017 the UK Government introduced the Universal Service Obligation (USO) for broadband as part of its commitment in the UK Digital Strategy to ensure that the UK has world-class digital connectivity and inclusion.

Measure	Bishopthorpe	York	Summary
Average download speed (Mb/s)	36.58	147.10	slower than the York average
Superfast broadband availability	92.63%	94.13%	worse than the York average
Connections receiving:			
slowest speeds (under 2 Mb/s)	0.29%	0.04%	higher than the York average
slower speeds (under 10 Mb/s)	0.54%	0.81%	lower than the York average
superfast speeds (over 30 Mb/s)	91.89%	93.35%	lower than the York average

This data is based on analysis of Ofcom's Connected Nations data for 2020/2021. Ofcom collected and analysed data from major fixed telecoms operators (BT, Virgin Media, Sky, Talk Talk, Vodafone and KCOM). The availability data also includes coverage information provided by alternative network providers (B4RN, BU-UK, City Fibre, Gigaclear, Hyperoptic, ITS and Relish). Due to variations in broadband performance over time, Ofcom cautions that the data should not be regarded as a definitive and fixed view of the broadband infrastructure but rather a snapshot of current variation in availability and performance across the country. Due to privacy concerns Ofcom did not present the information in postcodes with fewer than four broadband connections.

Resident Engagement Resident responses about the Local Economy





Resident satisfaction responses about their Local Area Respondents were asked to consider their Local Area as the area within 15-20 minutes walking distance from their home 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 92.3% 91.7% 91.7% 83.3% 80.0% 66.7% 60.0% 40.0% 25.0% 20.0% 0.0% that York their local their local area is it is important it is important they belong their local area is they can influence a place where people from is a safe area as a to feel part of to their a good place for that residents decisions in their city to live in local area place to live their local area children and young can influence local area different people to grow up backgrounds their local area get on well together ■Bishopthorpe - Q1 ■ York Q3 Source: Talkabout Survey - Q1 + Q3 2019/2020 NB - Number of responses varies for each question


NB - Number of responses varies for each question

Source: Talkabout Survey - Q1 + Q3 2019/2020






















Experian Groups

B Prestige Positions

Own large, detached houses, highly educated, high discretionary income, garden or allotment, pay credit cards in full.

E Senior Security

Retired singles and couples, pre-war generation, established in community, low internet use, have wills.

G Domestic Success

Families with children, mid to high household income, monthly discretionary income under £1000, very high mortgage debt, internet via smartphone.

C Country Living

Rural locations, own old, detached houses, electronic money transfers, garden or allotment, oil central heating.

F Suburban Stability

Older families, no children, own mid-value semis, 3 bedrooms, established in community, news and media sites.

H Aspiring Homemakers

Families with young children, 3 bedrooms, high outstanding mortgages, internet via smartphone, texts and photos on smartphone.

L Vintage Value

Retired singles, council/ha tenants, no qualifications, low discretionary income, low-tech households.

Experian Types

C13 Village Retirement

Retired singles and couples, no children, pre-war generation, large, detached houses, no outstanding mortgage.

B09 Empty-Nest Adventure

Married couples, no children, baby boomers, large, detached houses, highly educated, high discretionary income.

E18 Legacy Elders

Retired singles, pre-war generation, university degrees, homeowners, no outstanding mortgage.

G29 Mid-Career Convention

Married couples, 2+ children, generation x, homeowners, outstanding mortgage.

E19 Bungalow Haven

Pre-war generation, own bungalows, no outstanding mortgage, university degrees, pay credit cards in full.

G27 Thriving Independence

Middle-aged singles, no children, homeowners, calls via smartphone, internet first place for information.

B07 Alpha Families

Families with 2+ children, large, detached houses, high value properties, university degrees, high income, large mortgage.

C10 Wealthy Landowners

Large, detached houses, university degrees, high discretionary income, horse or pony, oil central heating.

B08 Bank of Mum and Dad

Settled families, adult children at home, own large, detached houses, company directors, high discretionary income.

F25 Dependable Me

Older singles, no children, baby boomers, homeowners, 3 bedrooms, university degrees.