

York Summary


• York has 211,012 residents with 7.3% from a black and minority ethnic community group. 83.6% are in good health, with 17.1% stating that they have some limitation in day to day activities.

• 65% own their own home, either outright or with a mortgage, 20% are private renters and 14% are social tenants. There are 7,394 Council Houses in York.

• 79.3% of residents have a Level 1 - 4 qualification, of which 71.9% are, at least, qualified to Level 2, but 13.3% have no qualifications at all.

• 11.5% of children are living in low income families and there are 13.5% of households in fuel poverty.

• 1.6% of the working population (aged 16-64) claim out of work benefits (either Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit)



Ward Summary

• Huntington and New Earswick has 12,610 residents with 3.8% from a black and minority ethnic community group. 79.4% are in good health, with 19.3% stating that they have some limitation in day to day activities.

• 68% own their own home, either outright or with a mortgage, 10% are private renters and 20% are social tenants. There are no Council Houses in this ward.

• 70.0% of residents have a Level 1 - 4 qualification, of which 60.5% are, at least, qualified to Level 2, but 19.4% have no qualifications at all.

• 14.1% of children are living in low income families and there are 11.4% of households in fuel poverty.


• 1.4% of the working population (aged 16-64) claim out of work benefits (either Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit)





Ward performance by key areas								
This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within the ward - more detail is provided later in the profile.								
Huntington and New Earswick Ward		Best Ward in York	Worst Ward in York	York Ward Average	Good	rformance Area of concern	e (latest da In Top 5 Wards	ata) In Bottom 5 Wards
Economy						below the e ± 10%	P	ages 8 - 9
Universal Credit (out of work) claimants	1.40%	0.50%	3.00%	1.37%	averag			
Residents who agree the council and its partners are helping to create jobs in the city	41.18%	50.00%	0.00%	30.36%				
Residents who agree their skills and qualifications are suited to jobs available in York	36.36%	100.00%	12.50%	44.01%		٠		
Business Startups:		1		1			r	
Number (YTD)	23.0	61.0	2.0	21.6				
per 10,000 working age population (YTD)	31.5	72.8	9.7	34.8				
Poverty								Page 10
Fuel poverty (households)	11.40%	6.72%	24.28%	13.00%				
Children (aged 0- 15) living in low income families	14.10%	2.80%	19.80%	9.57%		٠		٠
Health and Wellbeing							Pag	jes 15 - 19
Reception year overweight	23.08%	15.79%	27.42%	20.99%				
Year 6 overweight	39.29%	18.75%	43.43%	30.40%		•		٠
Male life expectancy	80.6	87.1	75.4	80.5				
Female life expectancy	84.4	91.8	80.7	84.2				
Emergency hospital admissions: Under 5 years old	240.4	111.7	281.4	199.2		٠		٠
All causes	119.0	79.0	132.3	103.5		•		•
Coronary heart disease	134.1	85.5	145.4	113.5		٠		•
Injuries resulting from a fall (aged over 65)	17.1	12.1	34.3	20.5				
Mortality: All causes	86.5	56.5	123.1	95.1				
Cancer	97.3	72.5	130.8	99.0				
Causes considered preventable, under 75 years	90.2	39.7	148.3	90.4				
Adult Social Care		1					Pag	jes 20 - 21
Social Isolation	2.1	1.3	2.4	1.9				
Homecare hours (weekly average)	14.1	8.9	25.9	13.3				
Homecare clients (per 1,000 population)	6.9	1.5	6.9	4.1		٠		•
Clients getting paid packages of care that are not residential/nursing care	184.0	184.0	13.0	70.9				
New customers requesting Adult Social Care support	67.0	68.0	14.0	35.8				
Key: Good performance \blacklozenge Area of concern								





In York In York Average Good concern 0 5 5 Public Realm 56.9 15.8 ● 21.2 Crime (per 1,000 population) 5.5 1.0 21.1 5.3 ● 21.2 Residents who think that hate rime ion to problem in their local area 94.12% 100.00% 42.86% 80.31% ●	This is an "at a glance" summary of performance within the ward - more detail is provided later in the profile.								
Ward Ward Ward Ward Average Good Area of concert In Top Wards Borrom Wards Public Realm Page 21 - 2 Crime (per 1,000 population) 15.5 1.0 21.1 5.3 ASB (per 1,000 population) 5.5 1.0 21.1 5.3 <						Pe	rformance	e (latest da	ata)
Crime (per 1.000 population) 19.4 4.3 56.9 15.8 ● ASB (per 1.000 population) 5.5 1.0 21.1 5.3 ■ Residents who think that hate crime is not a problem in their cocal area 94.12% 100.00% 42.86% 80.31% ● ■ Residents who agree that York issues reported - Inter 90.0 N/A N/A 8.1 ■ ■ Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Faeces 4.0 N/A N/A 4.7 ■ Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Faeces 66.0% 5.25% 13.62% 10.14% ● ■ Structurally impaired (Grade 5) 6.60% 5.25% 13.62% 10.14% ● ■ Structurally impaired (Grade 5) 6.60% 5.25% 13.62% 10.14% ● ■ Schools and Educational Attainment Page 2 M/A N/A 14.20% ■ ■ Free School Meals 15.92% N/A N/A 14.20% ■ ■ ■ GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 ■ ■ ■	Ward		Ward	Ward	Ward	Good		5	Bottom
ASB (per 1,000 population) 5.5 1.0 21.1 5.3 Image: Control of the ima	Public Realm Page 21 - 22								ge 21 - 22
Residents who think that hate crime is not a problem in their local area 94.12% 100.00% 42.86% 80.31% • • Residents who agree that York is a safe city to live in, relatively free from crime and violence 68.75% 100.00% 50.00% 78.13% • • Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 8.1 • • Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 4.7 • • % of road area that is Free From Defects (Grade 1) 19.33% 37.34% 12.05% 19.83% • • • Schools and Educational Attainment Page 2 Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 15.92% N/A N/A 14.20% • <t< td=""><td>Crime (per 1,000 population)</td><td>19.4</td><td>4.3</td><td>56.9</td><td>15.8</td><td></td><td>٠</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Crime (per 1,000 population)	19.4	4.3	56.9	15.8		٠		
crime is not a problem in their 94.12% 100.00% 42.86% 80.31% ● local area Residents who agree that York 68.75% 100.00% 50.00% 78.13% ● Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 8.1 ● Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 4.7 Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 4.7 Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 4.7 Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 19.83% Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Faeces 6.60% 5.25% 13.62% 10.14% School meals 16.23% N/A N/A 14.20% School Meals 15.25% N/A N/A 14.60% <td< td=""><td>ASB (per 1,000 population)</td><td>5.5</td><td>1.0</td><td>21.1</td><td>5.3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	ASB (per 1,000 population)	5.5	1.0	21.1	5.3				
is a safe city to live in, relatively free from crime and violence Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Litter Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Faeces 4.0 N/A N/A 4.7 % of road area that is Free From 19.33% 37.34% 12.05% 19.83% © foroad area that is Free From 20.525% 13.62% 10.14% % of road area that is Structurally Impaired (Grade 5) % of road area that is Secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals Good Level of Development at Foundation Stage GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 % of road area that is Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 % of road area that is Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 % of road area that is Superfast availability 93.68% 98.07% 75.26% 91.98% Average download speed (Mb/s) Superfast availability 93.68% 98.07% 75.26% 91.98% Resident space fuel there Resident space fuel there Resident space fuel there 88.24% 100.00% 57.14% 78.71%	Residents who think that hate crime is not a problem in their local area	94.12%	100.00%	42.86%	80.31%				
issues reported - Litter 9.0 N/A N/A 8.1	is a safe city to live in, relatively free from crime and violence	68.75%	100.00%	50.00%	78.13%		٠		
Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Facces 4.0 N/A N/A 4.7 Via of coad area that is Free From Defects (Grade 1) 19.33% 37.34% 12.05% 19.83% Schools and Educational Attainment 6.60% 5.25% 13.62% 10.14% ● Schools and Educational Attainment Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 16.23% N/A N/A 14.20% Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 15.92% N/A N/A 13.60% Good Level of Development at Good Level of Development at Foundation Stage 66.02% 95.00% 56.76% 70.59% Primary school Primary school Page 2 GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 ● Page 2 GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 ● Page 2 GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 ● Page 2 GP 21.5 8.1 17.7 8.8 ● Page 2 Average download speed (Mb/s) 254.2 265.6 48.3 165.4 ● ● Page 26 - 2 Resident statisfied with their local are	-	9.0	N/A	N/A	8.1				
Defects (Grade 1) 19.33% 37.34% 12.05% 19.83% ■ % of road area that is Structurally Impaired (Grade 5) 6.60% 5.25% 13.62% 10.14% ● ■ Schools and Educational Attainment Page 2 Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 16.23% N/A N/A 14.20% ■ ■ Good Level of Development at Foundation Stage 66.02% 95.00% 56.76% 70.59% ■ ■ Gravel 10: Level of Development at Foundation Stage 66.02% 95.00% 56.76% 70.59% ■ ■ Travel time (in minutes) by public transport / walking to nearest Page 2 ■ ■ ■ GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 ● ■ ■ Hospital 26.0 12.4 56.5 33.5 ● ■	Street cleaning - Number of issues reported - Faeces	4.0	N/A	N/A	4.7				
Structurally Impaired (Grade 5) 6.60% 5.25% 13.62% 10.14% Schools and Educational Attainment Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals Good Level of Development at Good Level of Development at Foundation Stage 66.02% 95.00% 56.76% 70.59% Fravel time (in minutes) by public transport / walking to nearest Page 2 GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 10.00 12.1 9.5 16.9	% of road area that is Free From Defects (Grade 1)	19.33%	37.34%	12.05%	19.83%				
Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 16.23% N/A N/A 14.20% Image: Comparison of the state of th	% of road area that is Structurally Impaired (Grade 5)	6.60%	5.25%	13.62%	10.14%				
Free School Meals 16.23% N/A N/A 14.20% Image: Constraint of the secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 15.92% N/A N/A 13.60% Image: Constraint of the secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 15.92% N/A N/A N/A 13.60% Image: Constraint of the secondary school pupils claiming Free School Meals 15.92% N/A N/A N/A N/A 13.60% Image: Constraint of the secondary school pupils claiming free School Meals 16.02% 95.00% 56.76% 70.59% Image: Constraint of the secondary school pupils claiming free School Meals 16.02% 95.00% 56.76% 70.59% Image: Constraint of the secondary school pupils claiming free School Meals 17.45% Image: Constraint of the secondary school 12.4 56.5 33.5 Image: Constraint of the secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 Image: Constraint of the secondary school Image: Constraint of the secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 Image: Constraint of the secondary school Image: Consecondary school Image: Consecondary sch	Schools and Educational Attainment Page 24								
claiming Free School Meals 13.32% N/A N/A 13.60% Image: School Meals I	Primary school pupils claiming Free School Meals	16.23%	N/A	N/A	14.20%				
Foundation Stage 66.02% 95.00% 56.76% 70.59% 1 1 Key Stage 4 Attainment 68.85% 87.18% 50.00% 71.45% 1 1 Travel time (in minutes) by public transport / walking to nearest Page 2 GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 ● 1 Hospital 26.0 12.4 56.5 33.5 ● 1 Primary school 8.4 5.8 11.7 8.8 ● 1 Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 ● ● Broadband coverage and speeds Page 2 265.6 48.3 165.4 ● ● Superfast availability 93.68% 98.07% 75.26% 91.98% ● ● Residents satisfied with their local area 100.00% 100.00% 63.64% 84.63% ● ● ● Residents who agree that they belong to their local area 88.24% 100.00% 57.14% 78.71% ● ● ● Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area 94.12% </td <td>claiming Free School Meals</td> <td>15.92%</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>13.60%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	claiming Free School Meals	15.92%	N/A	N/A	13.60%				
Travel time (in minutes) by public transport / walking to nearest Page 21 GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 •	Good Level of Development at Foundation Stage	66.02%	95.00%	56.76%	70.59%				
GP 21.5 8.1 29.5 16.9 Mospital 26.0 12.4 56.5 33.5 Evendance Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 Evendance Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 Secondary school 12.1 9.5 30.8 18.5 Secondary school 254.2 265.6 48.3 165.4 Secondary school 254.2 265.6 48.3 165.4 Secondary school 264.2 265.6 48.3 165.4 Secondary school Secondary school<td>Key Stage 4 Attainment</td><td>68.85%</td><td>87.18%</td><td>50.00%</td><td>71.45%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>	Key Stage 4 Attainment	68.85%	87.18%	50.00%	71.45%				
Hospital 26.0 12.4 56.5 33.5 •	Travel time (in minutes) by pub	lic transpo	rt / walking	to neares	t				Page 25
Primary school 8.4 5.8 11.7 8.8 Image: school scho	GP	21.5	8.1	29.5	16.9		٠		
Secondary school12.19.530.818.5Image: constraint of the second seco	Hospital	26.0	12.4	56.5	33.5				
Page 2 Page 2 Average download speed (Mb/s) 254.2 265.6 48.3 165.4 • • • Page 2 Superfast availability 93.68% 98.07% 75.26% 91.98% • <td< td=""><td>Primary school</td><td>8.4</td><td>5.8</td><td>11.7</td><td>8.8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Primary school	8.4	5.8	11.7	8.8				
Average download speed (Mb/s) 254.2 265.6 48.3 165.4 • • Superfast availability 93.68% 98.07% 75.26% 91.98% • • Resident Engagement Resident satisfied with their local area as a place to live 100.00% 100.00% 63.64% 84.63% • • Residents who agree that they belong to their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up 94.12% 100.00% 33.33% 71.54% • • Residents who agree that they belong to their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up 94.12% 100.00% 33.33% 71.54% • • Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area 18.75% 100.00% N/A 25.46% • • Key: Good performance • Area of concern • • •	Secondary school	12.1	9.5	30.8	18.5				
Superfast availability93.68%98.07%75.26%91.98%Pages 26 - 2'Resident EngagementPages 26 - 2'Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live100.00%100.00%63.64%84.63%••Residents who agree that they belong to their local area88.24%100.00%57.14%78.71%••Residents agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up94.12%100.00%33.33%71.54%••Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area18.75%100.00%N/A25.46%••Key:Good performance•Area of concern	Broadband coverage and speed	ls							Page 26
Pages 26 - 2' Resident Engagement Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live 100.00% 63.64% 84.63% • • • Residents who agree that they belong to their local area 88.24% 100.00% 57.14% 78.71% •<	Average download speed (Mb/s)	254.2	265.6	48.3	165.4				
Residents satisfied with their local area as a place to live100.00%100.00%63.64%84.63%•Residents who agree that they belong to their local area88.24%100.00%57.14%78.71%•Residents agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up94.12%100.00%33.33%71.54%•Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area100.00%N/A25.46%••Key:Good performance•Area of concern	Superfast availability	93.68%	98.07%	75.26%	91.98%				
local area as a place to live100.00%100.00%63.64%84.63%Image: Constraint of the state o	Resident Engagement							Pag	es 26 - 27
Residents who agree that they belong to their local area88.24%100.00%57.14%78.71%Residents agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up94.12%100.00%33.33%71.54%•Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area100.00%N/A25.46%••Key:Good performance•Area of concern	Residents satisfied with their	100.00%	100.00%	63.64%	84.63%				
Residents agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up 94.12% 100.00% 33.33% 71.54% •<	Residents who agree that they belong to their local area	88.24%	100.00%	57.14%	78.71%				
can influence decisions in their 18.75% 100.00% N/A 25.46% Meg: Good performance Area of concern 	Residents agree their local area is a good place for children and young people to grow up	94.12%	100.00%	33.33%	71.54%				
	Residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area	18.75%	100.00%	N/A	25.46%		٠		
Further information about the ward is available at: <u>Huntington and New Earswick Ward</u>	Key: Good performance								










































Produced on 14/01/2024 by the Business Intelligence Hub












Adult Social Care

Social isolation


Social isolation: This is based on respondents to two questions on the Adult Social Care Survey regarding social contact and how time is spent.


A score of 1 indicates that the respondent has plenty of social contact and that they spend their time doing things they enjoy A score of 4 indicates that the respondent feels socially isolated and that they don't feel they do anything of value with their time.


The mean of all respondents' responses to both questions is the score presented here.















Education and Schools

The following school catchment areas are part of Huntington and New Earswick Ward:

Primary: Headlands, Huntington, New Earswick, Stockton on the Forest and Yearsley Grove.

Secondary: Huntington and Joseph Rowntree.








Broadband coverage and speeds


In March 2017 the UK Government introduced the Universal Service Obligation (USO) for broadband as part of its commitment in the UK Digital Strategy to ensure that the UK has world-class digital connectivity and inclusion.

Measure	Huntington and New Earswick	York	Summary
Average download speed (Mb/s)	254.19	174.80	faster than the York average
Superfast broadband availability	93.68%	97.19%	worse than the York average
Connections receiving:			
slowest speeds (under 2 Mb/s)	0.05%	0.04%	higher than the York average
slower speeds (under 10 Mb/s)	0.71%	0.39%	higher than the York average
superfast speeds (over 30 Mb/s)	98.61%	97.28%	higher than the York average


This data is based on analysis of Ofcom's Connected Nations data for 2023/2024. Ofcom collected and analysed data from major fixed telecoms operators (BT, Virgin Media, Sky, Talk Talk, Vodafone and KCOM).

Due to variations in broadband performance over time, Ofcom cautions that the data should not be regarded as a definitive and fixed view of the broadband infrastructure but rather a snapshot of current variation in availability and performance across the country. The vast majority of UK homes can now get superfast broadband, which provides download speeds of at least 30 Mbit/s; although more than a quarter who have access to it have not taken it up.









YORK

Experian Groups

E Senior Security

Retired singles and couples, pre-war generation, established in community, low internet use, have wills.

F Suburban Stability

Older families, no children, own mid-value semis, 3 bedrooms, established in community, news and media sites.

H Aspiring Homemakers

Families with young children, 3 bedrooms, high outstanding mortgages, internet via smartphone, texts and photos on smartphone.

L Vintage Value

Retired singles, council/ha tenants, no qualifications, low discretionary income, low-tech households.

I Family Basics

Families with lots of children, council/ha tenants, low discretionary income, low affluence, internet via smartphone.

G Domestic Success

Families with children, mid to high household income, monthly discretionary income under £1000, very high mortgage debt, internet via smartphone.

B Prestige Positions

Own large, detached houses, highly educated, high discretionary income, garden or allotment, pay credit cards in full.

Experian Types

E19 Bungalow Haven

Pre-war generation, own bungalows, no outstanding mortgage, university degrees, pay credit cards in full.

I36 Solid Economy

Council/HA tenants, school-age children, no qualifications, low discretionary income, standard current/savings account.

E20 Classic Grandparents

Retired couples, established in community, no qualifications, low internet use, have wills.

H32 First-Rung Futures

Singles, millennials, university degrees, high outstanding mortgages, internet via smartphone.

F25 Dependable Me

Older singles, no children, baby boomers, homeowners, 3 bedrooms, university degrees.

G29 Mid-Career Convention

Married couples, 2+ children, generation x, homeowners, outstanding mortgage.

F24 Fledgling Free

Older married couples, no children, baby boomers, own 3 bed semis, vocational qualifications.

E21 Solo Retirees

Retired singles, no qualifications, own mid-value semis, low income, water poverty.

L52 Estate Veterans

Retired singles, council/ha tenants, no qualifications, very low discretionary income, established in community.

F22 Boomerang Boarders

Adult children at home, own 3 bed semis, established in community, low to mid-income, watch tv.