

| | | | | Previous Years | | | 2023/2024 | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|----|----|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2020/2021 | 2021/2022 | 2022/2023 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| | | Number of Complaints (All Grades) - Waste Services | Monthly | 249 | 857 | 1,225 | 383 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| | WA104 | Number of Complaints (Grade 1) - Waste Services | Monthly | 177 | 164 | 109 | 32 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲► Neutral |
| | WA104 | Number of Complaints (Grade 2) - Waste Services | Monthly | 68 | 693 | 1,116 | 351 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| | | Number of cases referred to the Ombudsman - Waste | Monthly | - | 5 | 3 | 0 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▼ Green |
| | | Residual household waste per household (kg/household) (DEFRA) | Quarterly | 496.68kg | 514.61kg | 503.49kg (Prov) | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CES35 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 552.9kg | 546.8kg | (Avail Nov 2023) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 549.3kg | 539.3kg | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 4 | 6 | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting (%) (DEFRA) | Quarterly | 44.13% | 43.17% | 41.26% (Prov) | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▼ Red |
| | CES36 | Household waste recycled / composted: Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 42.30% | 42.50% | (Avail Nov 2023) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 1. Waste | | Household waste recycled / composted: Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 42.00% | 42.30% | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Φ | | Household waste recycled / composted: Kegional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 6 | 10 | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting per household (kg/household) (DEFRA) | Quarterly | 392.32kg (Prov) | 389.22kg (Prov) | 353.62kg (Prov) | - | - | - | - | | Up is Good | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CES36a | Benchmark - National Data | Quarterly | 474.07kg | 465.53kg | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Quarterly | 460.67kg | 457.07kg | (Avail Nov 2023) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Quarterly | 12 | 13 | 2020) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Municipal waste sent to landfill (%) (DEFRA) | Quarterly | 9.33% | 4.97% | 2.87% (Prov) | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▼ Green |
| | CES37 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 7.80% | 8.10% | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 3.90% | 2.90% | (Avail Nov 2023) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 14 | 13 | 2020) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | CES38 | Total tonnes of municipal waste collected (household, commercial, prescribed and inert waste) | Quarterly | 89,667.94 | 93,698.68 | 88,541.59 | - | - | - | - | | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CES45a | % of properties offered 4 or more recyclable materials - (Snapshot) | Annual | 99.35% | 99.86% | (Avail Oct 2023) | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CES46 | Missed refuse collections - Number of issues reported | Monthly | 1,730 | 2,455 | 1,868 | 560 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |



| | | | | Previous Years | | | 2023/2024 | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2020/2021 | 2021/2022 | 2022/2023 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| | CES48 | Missed refuse collections - Number of issues per 100,000 collections - (YTD) | Monthly | 33.17 (est.) | 52 | 39.6 | 47.7 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| 1. Waste | CES49 | Missed refuse collections - Number of issues dealt with | Monthly | 337 | 2,433 | 1,865 | 560 | - | - | - | | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | SLA21 | Missed refuse collections - Number of issues dealt with that breached next-day target time (SLA) | Monthly | 753 | 1,373 | 823 | 302 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ∢ ► Neutral |
| | SLA22 | Missed refuse collections - Average time taken to resolve issues (Working days) | Monthly | NC | 3.4 | 2 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| | TAP33 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well helping to reduce amount of household waste | Quarterly | 24.81% | 23.35% | 26.26% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | TAP33 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well helping to reduce amount of household waste | Quarterly | 56.82% | 52.50% | 46.68% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▼ Green |
| | CSPEC6 | GRAFFITI - Number of issues reported | Monthly | 479 | 452 | 856 | 165 | - | - | - | | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CAN008b | GRAFFITI - Number of offensive issues dealt with by CYC | Monthly | 50 | 59 | 93 | 28 | - | - | - | | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CAN009b | GRAFFITI - Number of non-offensive issues dealt with by CYC | Monthly | 327 | 309 | 694 | 131 | - | - | - | | Neutral | ▲► Neutral |
| | CAN008 | GRAFFITI - Average time taken to resolve offensive issues (Working days) - (YTD) | Monthly | 3.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 | - | - | - | | Up is Bad | A Red |
| Ņ | CAN008d | GRAFFITI - % offensive issues resolved within target time (SLA) | Monthly | 84.00% | 86.40% | 92.50% | 100.00% | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Green |
| Public Realm | CAN009 | GRAFFITI - Average time taken to resolve non- offensive issues (Working days) - (YTD) | Monthly | 5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▼ Green |
| ealm | CAN009d | GRAFFITI - % non-offensive issues resolved within target time (SLA) | Monthly | 80.10% | 93.50% | 95.80% | 96.90% | - | - | - | | Up is Good | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | YCC227 | STREET CLEANING - Number of issues reported | Monthly | 1,990 | 2,150 | 2,307 | 586 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | | VEGETATION - Number of issues reported (includes weeds and overgrown hedges) | Monthly | 1,652 | 1,993 | 2,112 | 1,000 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CSPEC4 | VEGETATION - Number of issues reported (includes weeds and overgrown hedges) - (Rolling 12 months) | Monthly | 1,652 | 1,997 | 2,116 | 2,291 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Red |
| | CSPEC7 | LITTER BINS - Number of issues reported | Monthly | 313 | 165 | 151 | 56 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲► Neutral |



| | | | | Р | Previous Years | | | 2023/2024 | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--------|-----------|----|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2020/2021 | 2021/2022 | 2022/2023 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| | CSPEC8 | DOG BINS - Number of issues reported | Monthly | 241 | 52 | 36 | 14 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲▶ Neutral |
| | SLA02 | STREET CLEANING - % of urgent cleansing cases resolved within SLA (2 hours) - (YTD) | Monthly | 62.90% | 53.50% | 46.10% | 53.00% | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ ► Neutral |
| 2. Public Realm | SLA04 | STREET CLEANING - % of standard cleansing cases resolved within SLA (3 days) - (YTD) | Monthly | 72.70% | 67.70% | 65.20% | 52.30% | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▼ Red |
| | SLA13 | Overall Public Realm Standard Cleansing cases completed within SLA | Monthly | 80.80% | 76.70% | 75.00% | 69.70% | - | - | - | | Up is Good | ▼ Red |
| | SLA13b | Overall Public Realm Standard Cleansing cases dealt with that breached SLA | Monthly | 601 | 618 | 742 | 252 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| | SLA18 | Overall Public Realm Cleansing cases completed within SLA - (YTD) | Monthly | 77.00% | 69.90% | 67.90% | 66.00% | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Red |
| | SLA11 | VEGETATION - Average time taken to resolve issues (includes weeds and overgrown hedges) (Working days) | Monthly | 3.1 | 3.8 | 16.5 | 3.8 | - | - | - | | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | TAP30 | % of Talkabout panel who think that the council are doing well at improving green spaces | Quarterly | 44.31% | 43.26% | 38.30% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▼ Red |
| 3. Parks | TAP 30 | % of Talkabout panel who think that the council are not doing well at improving green spaces | Quarterly | 31.93% | 41.25% | 45.74% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| arks | TAP32 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well at improving the quality of streets/public spaces | Quarterly | 48.26% | 36.53% | 31.56% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▼ Red |
| | TAP 32 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well at improving the quality of streets/public spaces | Quarterly | 38.06% | 56.29% | 59.42% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Red |
| | FPN01a | Fixed Penalty Notices - Dog Fouling | Monthly | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | FPN01b | Fixed Penalty Notices - Litter | Monthly | 0 | 4 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| 4 | FPN01c | Fixed Penalty Notices - S34 non production of waste transfer notes/licences | Monthly | 18 | 9 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲► Neutral |
| Penal | FPN01d | Fixed Penalty Notices - S46 Household Waste presentation | Monthly | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| 4. Penalty Notices | FPN01e | Fixed Penalty Notices - S47 Commercial Waste | Monthly | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| ces | FPN02a | Community Protection Notices - Dog Fouling (Private or communal areas) | Monthly | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | FPN02b | Community Protection Notices - Waste accumulation on residential property | Monthly | 1 | 3 | 10 | 4 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | FPN02c | Community Protection Notices - Other | Monthly | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |



| | | | | Pi | Previous Years | | | 2023/2024 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----|-----------|----|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2020/2021 | 2021/2022 | 2022/2023 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| | | The number of CYC electric vehicle recharging points in York (updated definition Q4 21/22 to CYC points only) | Quarterly | 102 | 62 | 110 | 110 | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Salisbury Terrace (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 21.5 | 25.1 | 24.1 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Prices/Nunnery Lane (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 21.9 | 25.5 | 24.4 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CAN027b | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Blossom St/Holgate (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 25.8 | 28.7 | 27.9 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| 5. Air Q | | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fishergate/Paragon (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 20.7 | 22.8 | 21.7 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| Air Quality and Climate Change | | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Lawrence St (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 22.5 | 25 | 23.8 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| Climate | CAN027e | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fulford (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 19 | 19.2 | 19.2 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| Change | | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Gillygate / LMW (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 28.5 | 32.7 | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | | Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Rougier St/GHS (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 36.7 | 39.1 | 40.6 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| | CAN028 | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Salisbury Terrace (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 24.4 | 29.2 | 28.45 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CAN028a | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Prices/Nunnery Lane (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 27.2 | 32.8 | 30.62 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | CAN028b | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Blossom St/Holgate (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 35 | 43.5 | 41.33 | - | - | - | - | | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |



| | | | | P | revious Yea | rs | 2023/2024 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|----|----|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2020/2021 | 2021/2022 | 2022/2023 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| CAN | 1028c | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fishergate/Paragon (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 29.2 | 32.9 | 30.39 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| CAN | 1028d | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Lawrence St (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 32.5 | 33.7 | 33.77 | - | - | - | - | | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| CAN | 1028e | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fulford (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 24.6 | 25.4 | 25.97 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| CAN | N028f | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Gillygate / LMW (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 40.2 | 47.5 | 47.26 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| CAN | | The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Rougier St/GHS (ug/m3) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 38.8 | 40.5 | 43.73 | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| | | CO2 emissions (tonnes) per head of population (within LA scope) (Calendar Year) | Annual | 3.4 (2020) | 3.9 (2021) |)21) (Due Jun | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| ្រា CE | S020 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 3.8 (2020) | 4.1 (2021) | 2024) | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 4 (2020) | 4.3 (2021) | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Air Quality and Climate Change | C02 | Carbon emissions across the city (kilotonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent) - (Calendar Year) | Annual | (Avail Sep 23) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| nd Climat | C03 | Level of CO2 emissions from council buildings and operations (tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent) | Annual | 3,657.56 | 3,633.3 | (Avail Sep 23) | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| e Change | P29 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well at reducing air pollution | Quarterly | 41.29% | 38.84% | 33.07% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▼ Red |
| ΦΙΑ | 1723 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well at reducing air pollution | Quarterly | 35.32% | 45.42% | 49.07% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| . | D 24 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well helping to reduce carbon footprint | Quarterly | 28.22% | 34.86% | 41.99% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| | | % of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well helping to reduce carbon footprint | Quarterly | 44.55% | 53.39% | 43.83% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▲ ► Neutral |
| т. | TAP35 | % of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well encouraging the use of low emission vehicles | Quarterly | 13.43% | 15.54% | 17.65% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| | | % of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well encouraging the use of low emission vehicles | Quarterly | 55.47% | 53.78% | 46.26% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | ▼ Green |



| | | | | Р | revious Yea | rs | 2023/2024 | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|--------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2020/2021 | 2021/2022 | 2022/2023 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target | Polarity | DOT |
| . ග | | % of Talkabout panel satisfied with their local area as a place to live | Quarterly | 84.90% | 84.38% | 82.18% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ ► Neutral |
| Satisfaction | TAP01 | Benchmark - Community Life Survey | Annual | 79.10% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| sfact | | Benchmark - LG Inform | Quarterly | 82.00% | 80.00% | - | 80.00% | - | - | - | - | | |
| ion | | % of Talkabout panel dissatisfied with their local area as a place to live | Quarterly | 7.67% | 9.74% | 10.64% | | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |
| 7. F | PP01 | % of businesses visited reporting that contact with officers was helpful | Annual | 96.70% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲► Neutral |
| Public Protection | PP02 | % of businesses visited reporting that they were treated fairly | Annual | 98.40% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲► Neutral |
| Protect | PP04 | % of customers who were satisfied with the overall level of service provided | Annual | 86.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲► Neutral |
| lion | PP06 | % of food premises that are classified as broadly compliant - (YTD) | Quarterly | 89.20% | 86.00% | 89.50% | 92.00% | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | ▲ Green |
| | | Large Project - Local Plan | Quarterly | Amber | Amber | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | | Large Project - York Central | Quarterly | Amber | Amber | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |
| | | Large Project - Outer Ring Road (A1237) | Quarterly | Amber | Amber | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |
| | | Large Project - Flood Risk | Quarterly | Green | Green | Green | Green | - | - | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |
| 8. L | | Large Project - Hyperhubs | Quarterly | Green | Green | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |
| Large Projects | CORP10L | Large Project - Council Housing Energy Retrofit Programme | Quarterly | - | Amber | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| rojec | | Large Project - Haxby Station | Quarterly | - | Amber | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| ts | | Large Project - York Station Gateway | Quarterly | - | - | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |
| | | Large Project - Ousewerm (York and North Yorkshire Catchment Flood Management Project) | Quarterly | - | - | Green | Green | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| | | Large Project - Harewood Whin Green Energy Park | Quarterly | - | - | Amber | Amber | - | - | - | - | Neutral | ▲ ► Neutral |
| 9. Finance | BPI110 | Forecast Budget Outturn (£000s Overspent / - Underspent) - CYC Subtotal (excluding contingency) | Quarterly | £1,328 | £2,638 | £4,887 | £6,752 | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | A Red |