

			P	revious Yea	rs	2023/2024						
		Collection Frequency	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DO
	Number of Complaints (All Grades) - Waste Services	Monthly	249	857	1,225	383	299	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Re
WA104	Number of Complaints (Grade 1) - Waste Services	Monthly	177	164	109	32	29	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Net
WATO	Number of Complaints (Grade 2) - Waste Services	Monthly	68	693	1,116	351	270	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Re
	Number of cases referred to the Ombudsman - Waste	Monthly	-	5	3	0	1	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Gre
	Residual household waste per household (kg/household) (DEFRA)	Quarterly	496.68kg	514.61kg	503.49kg (Prov)	136.15kg (Prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ Neu
CES35	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	552.9kg	546.8kg	(Avoil Nov	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	549.3kg	539.3kg	(Avail Nov 2023)	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	6	-,	-	-	-	-	-		
	Household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting (%) (DEFRA)	Quarterly	44.13%	43.17%	41.26% (Prov)	44.95% (Prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Ne
CES36	Household waste recycled / composted: Benchmark - National Data	Annual	42.30%	42.50%		-	-	-	-	-		
	Household waste recycled / composted: Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	42.00%	42.30%	(Avail Nov 2023)	-	-	-	-	-		
	Household waste recycled / composted: Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	6	10		-	-	-	-	-		Т
	Household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting per household (kg/household) (DEFRA)	Quarterly	392.32kg	389.22kg	353.62kg (Prov)	111.16kg (Prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neu
CES36a	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	474.07kg	465.53kg		-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	460.67kg	457.07kg	(Avail Nov 2023)	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Quarterly	12	13	2020)	-	-	-	-	-		
	Municipal waste sent to landfill (%) (DEFRA)	Quarterly	9.33%	4.97%	2.87% (Prov)	5.51% (Prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	R
CES37	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	7.80%	8.10%		-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	3.90%	2.90%	(Avail Nov 2023)	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	13	2020)	-	-	-	-	-		
CES38	Total tonnes of municipal waste collected (household, commercial, prescribed and inert waste)	Quarterly	89,667.94	93,698.68	88,541.59	24,962.53	-	-	-	-	Neutral	Ne
CES45a	% of properties offered 4 or more recyclable materials - (Snapshot)	Annual	99.35%	99.86%	(Avail Dec 2023)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Ne



				Pi	revious Yea	rs			2023/2024				
			Collection Frequency	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	CES46	Missed refuse collections - Number of issues reported	Monthly	1,730	2,455	1,868	560	558	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
	CES48	Missed refuse collections - Number of issues per 100,000 collections - (YTD)	Monthly	33.17 (est.)	52	39.6	47.7	47.6	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
	CES49	Missed refuse collections - Number of issues dealt with	Monthly	337	2,433	1,865	560	555	-	-		Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
1. Waste	SLA21	Missed refuse collections - Number of issues dealt with that breached next-day target time (SLA)	Monthly	753	1,373	823	302	357	-	-	-	Up is Bad	A Red
ste	SLA22	Missed refuse collections - Average time taken to resolve issues (Working days)	Monthly	NC	3.4	2	1.4	1.5	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
	TAP33	% of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well helping to reduce amount of household waste	Quarterly	24.81%	23.35%	26.26%	22.64%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ▶ Neutral
	TAP33	% of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well helping to reduce amount of household waste	Quarterly	56.82%	52.50%	46.68%	52.15%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
	CSPEC6	GRAFFITI - Number of issues reported	Monthly	479	452	856	165	141	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
	CAN008b	GRAFFITI - Number of offensive issues dealt with by CYC	Monthly	50	59	93	28	25	-	-		Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
	CAN009b	GRAFFITI - Number of non-offensive issues dealt with by CYC	Monthly	327	309	694	131	109	-	-		Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
	CAN008	GRAFFITI - Average time taken to resolve offensive issues (Working days) - (YTD)	Monthly	3.7	0.8	1.3	0.7	0.9	-	-		Up is Bad	▲ Red
2. Pu	CAN008d	GRAFFITI - % offensive issues resolved within target time (SLA)	Monthly	84.00%	86.40%	92.50%	100.00%	96.00%	-	-		Up is Good	▲ Green
2. Public Realm	CAN009	GRAFFITI - Average time taken to resolve non- offensive issues (Working days) - (YTD)	Monthly	5	3.3	2.8	2	2.5	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
3	CAN009d	GRAFFITI - % non-offensive issues resolved within target time (SLA)	Monthly	80.10%	93.50%	95.80%	97.70%	95.40%	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
	YCC227	STREET CLEANING - Number of issues reported	Monthly	1,990	2,150	2,307	586	499	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
		VEGETATION - Number of issues reported (includes weeds and overgrown hedges)	Monthly	1,652	1,993	2,112	1,000	907	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
	CSPEC4	VEGETATION - Number of issues reported (includes weeds and overgrown hedges) - (Rolling 12 months)	Monthly	1,652	1,997	2,116	2,291	2,575	-	-	-	Up is Bad	A Red



				Р	revious Yea	rs			2023/2024				
			Collection Frequency	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
	CSPEC7	LITTER BINS - Number of issues reported	Monthly	313	165	151	56	51	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
	CSPEC8	DOG BINS - Number of issues reported	Monthly	241	52	36	14	34	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
	SLA02	STREET CLEANING - % of urgent cleansing cases resolved within SLA (2 hours) - (YTD)	Monthly	62.90%	53.50%	46.10%	53.00%	52.20%	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ► Neutral
2. Public	SLA04	STREET CLEANING - % of standard cleansing cases resolved within SLA (3 days) - (YTD)	Monthly	72.70%	67.70%	65.20%	52.30%	54.50%	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
Public Realm	SLA13	Overall Public Realm Standard Cleansing cases completed within SLA	Monthly	80.80%	76.70%	75.00%	69.80%	64.40%	-	-		Up is Good	▼ Red
-	SLA13b	Overall Public Realm Standard Cleansing cases dealt with that breached SLA	Monthly	601	618	742	250	288	-	-		Up is Bad	▲ Red
	SLA18	Overall Public Realm Cleansing cases completed within SLA - (YTD)	Monthly	77.00%	69.90%	67.90%	66.10%	64.00%	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
	SLA11	VEGETATION - Average time taken to resolve issues (includes weeds and overgrown hedges) (Working days)	Monthly	3.1	3.8	16.5	4.1	8.2	-	-		Up is Bad	▲ Red
	TAP30	% of Talkabout panel who think that the council are doing well at improving green spaces	Quarterly	44.31%	43.26%	38.30%	38.33%	-	-	-		Up is Good	⋖ ► Neutral
3. Parks	TAPSU	% of Talkabout panel who think that the council are not doing well at improving green spaces	Quarterly	31.93%	41.25%	45.74%	47.55%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
arks	TAROS	% of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well at improving the quality of streets/public spaces	Quarterly	48.26%	36.53%	31.56%	28.21%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
	TAP32	% of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well at improving the quality of streets/public spaces	Quarterly	38.06%	56.29%	59.42%	66.10%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
4.	FPN01a	Fixed Penalty Notices - Dog Fouling	Monthly	2	4	2	0	0	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
Penalt	FPN01b	Fixed Penalty Notices - Litter	Monthly	0	4	6	1	0	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
4. Penalty Notices	FPN01c	Fixed Penalty Notices - S34 non production of waste transfer notes/licences	Monthly	18	9	5	6	0	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
œs	FPN01d	Fixed Penalty Notices - S46 Household Waste presentation	Monthly	0	0	2	4	3	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral



				Р	revious Yea	rs	2023/2024						
			Collection Frequency	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
4.	FPN01e	Fixed Penalty Notices - S47 Commercial Waste	Monthly	0	0	1	2	0	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
Penalty Notices	FPN02a	Community Protection Notices - Dog Fouling (Private or communal areas)	Monthly	0	1	3	1	0	-	-		Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
	FPN02b	Community Protection Notices - Waste accumulation on residential property	Monthly	1	3	10	4	0	-	-		Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
	FPN02c	Community Protection Notices - Other	Monthly	0	2	4	1	6	-	-		Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
	CAN026	The number of CYC electric vehicle recharging points in York (updated definition Q4 21/22 to CYC points only)	Quarterly	102	62	110	110	103	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ▶ Neutral
	CAN027	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Salisbury Terrace (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	21.5	25.1	24.1	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
	CAN027a	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Prices/Nunnery Lane (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	21.9	25.5	24.4	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
5. /	CAN027b	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Blossom St/Holgate (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	25.8	28.7	27.9	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◄▶ Neutral
۹ir Qualit	CAN027c	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fishergate/Paragon (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	20.7	22.8	21.7	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
Air Quality and Climate Change	CAN027d	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Lawrence St (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	22.5	25	23.8	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
nate Cha	CAN027e	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fulford (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	19	19.2	19.2	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
nge	CAN027f	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Gillygate / LMW (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	28.5	32.7	33	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ▶ Neutral
	CAN027g	Average Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Rougier St/GHS (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	36.7	39.1	40.6	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	A Red
	CAN028	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Salisbury Terrace (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	24.4	29.2	28.45	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
	CAN028a	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Prices/Nunnery Lane (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	27.2	32.8	30.62	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral



			Pr	evious Yea	rs			2023/2024				
		Collection Frequency	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
CAN028b	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Blossom St/Holgate (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	35	43.5	41.33	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ▶ Neutral
CAN028c	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fishergate/Paragon (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	29.2	32.9	30.39	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
CAN028d	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Lawrence St (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	32.5	33.7	33.77	-	-	-	-		Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
CAN028e	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fulford (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	24.6	25.4	25.97	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
CAN028f	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Gillygate / LMW (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	40.2	47.5	47.26	-	-	-	-		Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
CAN028g	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Rougier St/GHS (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	38.8	40.5	43.73	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
CAN038	The average of maximum annual mean Nitrogen Dioxide concentration recorded across three areas of technical breach (at points of relevant public exposure) (ug/m3) (Calendar Year)	Annual	38	43.8	44.1	-	-	-	-		Up is Bad	⋖ ▶ Neutral
CES020	CO2 emissions (tonnes) per head of population (within LA scope) (Calendar Year)	Annual	3.4 (2020)	3.9 (2021)	(Due Jun	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
020020	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	3.8 (2020)	4.1 (2021)	2024)	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	4 (2020)	4.3 (2021)		-	-	-	-			
EPC01ac	% of dwellings with energy rating in A-C band in the EPC Register (where A is the most energy efficient and G is the least energy efficient) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	NC	NC	42.00%	43.10%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ▶ Neutral
GCC02	Carbon emissions across the city (kilotonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent) - (Calendar Year)	Annual	(Avail 2023)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
GCC03	Level of CO2 emissions from council buildings and operations (tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent)	Annual	3,657.56	3,633.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ► Neutral
T. 500	% of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well at reducing air pollution	Quarterly	41.29%	38.84%	33.07%	32.56%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ► Neutral
TAP29	% of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well at reducing air pollution	Quarterly	35.32%	45.42%	49.07%	47.67%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ▶ Neutral



				Р	revious Yea	rs			2023/2024				
			Collection Frequency	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
5. Air C	TA DO 4	% of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well helping to reduce carbon footprint	Quarterly	28.22%	34.86%	41.99%	42.07%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ▶ Neutral
uality an	TAP34	% of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well helping to reduce carbon footprint	Quarterly	44.55%	53.39%	43.83%	46.40%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ▶ Neutral
Air Quality and Climate Change	TADOS	% of panel who think that the council and partners are doing well encouraging the use of low emission vehicles	Quarterly	13.43%	15.54%	17.65%	14.12%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ▶ Neutral
Change	TAP35	% of panel who think that the council and partners are not doing well encouraging the use of low emission vehicles	Quarterly	55.47%	53.78%	46.26%	53.89%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	⋖ ▶ Neutral
<u>ن</u>	TAP01	% of Talkabout panel satisfied with their local area as a place to live	Quarterly	84.90%	84.38%	82.18%	81.44%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
Satisfaction		Benchmark - Community Life Survey	Annual	79.10%	76.00%	(Due 2024)	-	-	-	-	-		
sfact		Benchmark - LG Inform	Quarterly	82.00%	80.00%	79.00%	80.00%	-	-	-	-		
ion		% of Talkabout panel dissatisfied with their local area as a place to live	Quarterly	7.67%	9.74%	10.64%	14.43%	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
	PP01	% of businesses visited reporting that contact with officers was helpful	Annual	96.70%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
7.	PP02	% of businesses visited reporting that they were treated fairly	Annual	98.40%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ► Neutral
7. Public Protection	PP04	% of customers who were satisfied with the overall level of service provided	Annual	86.20%	NC	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	⋖ ► Neutral
Protec	1104	% of customers who were satisfied with the overall level of service provided	Annual	86.20%	NC	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
tion	PP06	% of food premises that are classified as broadly compliant - (YTD)	Quarterly	89.20%	86.00%	89.50%	92.00%	92.00%	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	7700	% of food premises that are classified as broadly compliant - (YTD)	Quarterly	89.20%	86.00%	89.50%	92.00%	92.00%	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
œ		Large Project - Local Plan	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Green	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
	CORP10L	Large Project - York Central	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
Large Projects	GOINF IUL	Large Project - Outer Ring Road (A1237)	Quarterly	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
ਲੋ		Large Project - Flood Risk	Quarterly	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral



				Pı	revious Yea	rs	2023/2024						
			Collection Frequency	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
		Large Project - Hyperhubs	Quarterly	Green	Green	Amber	Amber	Complete	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Council Housing Energy Retrofit Programme	Quarterly	NA	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-		Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Haxby Station	Quarterly	NA	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-		Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
8. Larg	CORP10L	Large Project - York Station Gateway	Quarterly	NA	NA	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-		Neutral	⋖ ► Neutral
Large Projects		Large Project - Ousewerm (York and North Yorkshire Catchment Flood Management Project)	Quarterly	NA	NA	Green	Green	Green	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
SQ.		Large Project - Harewood Whin Green Energy Park	Quarterly	-	-	Amber	Amber	Amber	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
		Large Project - Hyperhubs - Union Terrace	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	Green	-	-		Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
		Large Project - EV Charger	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	Amber	-	-	-	Neutral	⋖ ▶ Neutral
9. Finance	BPI110	Forecast Budget Outturn (£000s Overspent / - Underspent) - CYC Subtotal (excluding contingency)	Quarterly	£1,328	£2,638	£4,887	£6,752	£5,771	-	-	-	Up is Bad	A Red